# Check-list for scientific names of common parasitic fungi. Series 2c: Fungi on field crops: pulse (legumes) and forage crops (herbage legumes)

#### G. H. BOEREMA and ADRIANA A. VERHOEVEN

Plant Protection Service (PD), Wageningen, the Netherlands

Accepted 16 February 1979

#### Abstract

This list is a continuation of Series 2a and 2b (Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82 (1976) 193–214 and 83 (1977) 165–204), an account of the nomenclature of common parasitic fungi on field crops as used in official publications of the Netherlands Society of Plant Pathology and the Netherlands Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries.

#### Introduction

In the preceeding parts of Series 2 of this check-list<sup>1</sup> (Boerema and Verhoeven, 1976, 1977), an account is given of the nomenclature of common parasitic fungi on the field crops: beet and potato; caraway, flax and oilseed poppy; barley, maize, oats, rye, wheat and various important cultivated grasses. In the present publication, Series 2c, the scientific names of common fungi on various pulse and forage crops (legumes and herbage legumes) are treated.

The hosts include:

dwarf beans:

(varieties of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L.)

dwarf French bean dwarf haricot bean

field (broad) beans:

(varieties of *Vicia faba* L.)

broad bean

horse bean

pigeon bean

small-seeded broad bean

field pea

(Pisum sativum subsp. arvense (L.) A. & G.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Series 1 deals with the scientific names of common parasitic fungi on trees and shrubs (Boerema and Verhoeven, 1972, 1973).

```
peas (garden-)
                                        (varieties of Pisum sativum L. subsp. sativum)
  blue pea
  brown marrowfat pea
  garden pea
  maple pea for human consumption
  marrowfat pea
  white pea
black medick or yellow trefoil
                                        (Medicago lupulina L.)
clovers:
  alsike clover or Swedish clover
                                        (Trifolium hybridum L. subsp. hybridum)
  berseem or Egyptian clover
                                        (Trifolium alexandrinum L.)
  crimson clover
                                        (Trifolium incarnatum L.)
  Persian clover
                                        (Trifolium resupinatum L.)
                                        (Trifolium pratense L.)
  red clover
                                        (Trifolium subterraneum L.)
  subterranean clover
  white clover (Dutch clover)
                                        (Trifolium repens L.)
lucernes:
  purple alfalfa (Am.)
                                        (Medicago sativa L. subsp. sativa)
                                        (Medicago sativa subsp. varia (Martyn)
  variegated alfalfa (Am.)
                                           Arcang.)
lupins:
  blue lupin
                                        (Lupinus angustifolius L.)
                                        (varieties of Lupinus luteus L.)
  sweet lupin and yellow lupin
                                        (Ornithopus sativus Brot.)
serradella
vetches e.g.:
  common vetch
                                        (Vicia sativa L. subsp. sativa)
  hairy vetch
                                        (Vicia villosa Roth)
                                        (Melilotus albus Med.)
white sweet clover (white melilot)
```

The fungi are again selected in agreement with the Committee for Dutch Names of Plant Diseases ('Commissie voor Nederlandse Namen van Planteziekten') of the Netherlands Society of Plant Pathology.

To simplify the text, various symbols and abbreviations are used. These are fully explained in the first part of this series (Boerema and Verhoeven, 1976). References in that paper to Articles of the 'Seattle Code' may also be read as references to the same Articles of the recently published 'Leningrad Code' (Stafleu et al., 1978).

Generally only well known synonyms, including their basionyms, are listed. The notes in the text give additional information on the nomenclature and refer to recent papers containing descriptions and data on pathogenicity and hosts. The recognized scientific names should be used in the official publications of the Netherlands Society of Plant Pathology<sup>2</sup> and the Netherlands Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries.

152

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, for instance, the recently published list of Dutch names of diseases of agricultural crops (Gewasbescherming 10(1), 1979).

#### Samenvatting

Verantwoording van de wetenschappelijke namen van algemeen voorkomende parasitaire schimmels.

Serie 2c: Schimmels bij akkerbouwgewassen: peulvruchten en vlinderbloemige voederen groenbemestingsgewassen

In alfabetische volgorde wordt de nomenclatuur behandeld van de parasitaire schimmels bij erwten, stambonen en veldbonen, alsmede bij diverse klavers inclusief honing-klaver en hopperupsklaver, lupinen, luzerne, serradella en wikken. Deze naamgeving zal worden gebruikt in de officiële publikaties van de Nederlandse Planteziekten-kundige Vereniging en het Ministerie van Landbouw en Visserij.

#### References

- Boerema, G. H. & Verhoeven, A. A., 1972. Check-list for scientific names of common parasitic fungi. Series 1a: Fungi on trees and shrubs. Neth. J. Pl. Path. 78, Suppl. 1.
- Boerema, G. H. & Verhoeven, A. A., 1973. Check-list for scientific names of common parasitic fungi. Series 1b: Fungi on trees and shrubs; additions. Neth. J. Pl. Path. 165–179.
- Boerema, G. H. & Verhoeven, A. A., 1976. Check-list for scientific names of common parasitic fungi. Series 2a: Fungi on field crops: beet and potato; caraway, flax and oilseed poppy. Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82: 193-214.
- Boerema, G. H. & Verhoeven, A. A., 1977. Check-list for scientific names of common parasitic fungi. Series 2b: Fungi on field crops: cereals and grasses. Neth. J. Pl. Path. 83: 165-204.
- Stafleu, F. A., Demoulin, V., Greuter, W., Hiepko, P., Linczevski, I. A., McVaugh, R., Meikle, R. D., Rollins, R. C., Ross, R., Schopf, J. M. & Voss, E. G., 1978. International Code of Botanical Nomenclature adopted by the twelfth International Botanical Congress, Leningrad, July 1975. Regnum veg. 97.

#### Address

Plantenziektenkundige Dienst, Geertjesweg 15, P.O. Box 9102, 6700 HC Wageningen, the Netherlands.

#### ASCOCHYTA FABAE Speg.

Ascochyta fabae Spegazzini in An. Mus. nac. Hist. nat. B. Aires  $6 (= II^a, 3)$ : 321, 322, 1899.

- = Ascochyta pisi var. foliicola (Sacc. & El. Marchal) Wollenweber & Hochapfel in Z. ParasitKde 8: 605. 1936.
  - = Ascochyta pisi f. foliicola Saccardo & El. Marchal in Revue mycol. 7: 148. 1885.
- \* = Ascochyta pisi var. fabae Sprague apud Yu in Phytopathology 37: 213. 1947.

Note: One of the two fungi causing Leaf Spot of field (broad) beans. The other causal organism is *Cercospora zonata* Wint. (q.v.). *A. fabae* may also attack the petioles, stems and pods of the plants, see e.g. Sundheim *in* Acta Agric. scand. **23**: 43–51. 1973. In inoculation experiments other leguminous plants also appeared to be susceptible to this fungus, see Beaumont *in* Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. **33**: 346–347. 1950. For data on the synonymy of *A. fabae* and the characteristics in vivo and in vitro see Boerema & Dorenbosch *in* Stud. Mycol. **3**: 19, 38–39. 1973, Yu l.c.: 210–212 and Beaumont l.c. In culture it greatly resembles *A. pisi* Lib. (q.v.), but it can always be distinguished by the larger conidia (in vitro mostly 17–22 × 4–5 µm, always two- or more-celled by 'distoseptation', see the note under *A. pisi*). Both fungi produce a crystalline toxic metabolite, 'ascochytine', see Iwai & Mishima *in* Chemy Ind. **4**: 186–187. 1965 and Verona & Treggi *in* Agricoltura ital., Pisa **66** (II, **21**): 311–314. 1966.

#### ASCOCHYTA PISI Lib.

- V Ascochyta pisi Libert in Pl. crypt. Ard., Fasc. 1, No. 59 [often erroneously listed as No. 12]. 1830; in Mém. Soc. r. Sci. Agric. Arts, Lille 1829–30: 176. 1831 [in both cases as 'Ascoxyta pisi', see note].
  - ≡ Ascospora pisi (Lib.) Fuckel in Jb. nassau. Ver. Naturk. 23-24
     [= Symb. mycol.]: 44. 1870 ["1869 und 1870"] [misapplied; Libert initially also used the name Ascospora for Ascox(ch) yta as appeared from original material in Libert's herbarium at Brussels].
  - = Ascochyta pisicola (Berk.) Saccardo in Sylloge Fung. 3: 397. 1884.
    - ≡ Sphaeria pisicola Berkeley apud Currey in Trans. linn. Soc. Lond. 22 (4): 334. 1859 [as 'Sphaeria (Depazea) pisicola'].
  - = *Phyllosticta robergei* Desmazières *in* Pl. cryptog. France II [ed. 3], Fasc. 14, No. 685, 1859.

Note: This causal organism of Leaf and Pod Spot of field and garden peas represents the type of the form-genus Ascochyta Lib. Electron-microscopic studies [Brewer & Boerema in Proc. K. ned. Akad. Wet. (Sect. C) 68: 86–97. 1965; Boerema & Bollen in Persoonia 8: 111–144. 1975] have shown that the septation of the conidia in this species is an essential part of the conidial development (distoseptation) which explains why A. pisi in vivo and in vitro always produce a high percentage of 2- or more-celled conidia. This feature can be used to differentiate genuine Ascochyta species from pseudoforms, i.e. Phoma species, the pycnidia of which may in vivo contain a variable percentage of septate conidia, but in culture produce mainly 1-celled conidia (Boerema & Bollen l.c.). The name of the genus was originally spelled as 'Ascoxyta', but was changed to Ascochyta by Link, Handb. Erk.

Gew. 3: 381, 1833. This linguistically correct spelling was followed by Libert in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) II, 7: 121-125. 1837 and later by others [Sprague & Johnson in Mycologia 42: 529. 1950]. Characteristics in vivo and in vitro, as well as other synonyms of A. pisi are given by Boerema & Dorenbosch in Stud. Mycol. 3: 18-19, 39. 1973. Characters differentiating this organism from Mycosphaerella pinodes (Berk. & Blox.) Vestergr. (stat. con. Ascochyta pinodes L. K. Jones) and Phoma medicaginis var. pinodella L. K. Jones) Boerema (syn. Ascochyta pinodella L. K. Jones) can be found in L. K. Jones in Bull. N. Y. St. agric. Exp. Stn 547: 1-46. 1927; Wehlburg, Onderz. Erwtenanthracnose [Diss., Baarn] 10-12. 1932; Hare & Walker in Res. Bull. agric. Exp. Stn Univ. Wis. 150: 15–20. 1944; and Handb. Seed Health Testing [Ed. Int. Seed Test. Ass.] III Working Sheets 16. 1964, 42 and 49. 1968. Sprague in Phytopathology 19: 917–932. 1929 emended the description of A. pisi to include species described from other leguminous plants. However, comparative studies, e.g. by Ondřej in Biológia, Bratisl. 23: 803-818. 1968 and 25: 679-690. 1970, have shown that different Ascochyta species occur on leguminous plants, which are readily differentiated by conidial dimensions (in A. pisi in vitro mostly  $11-16 \times 3-4 \,\mu\text{m}$ ), host relations and cultural characteristics (see e.g. A. fabae Speg., A. punctata Naumov and A. viciae-villosae Ondřej). A. pisi produces, just as A. fabae, a crystalline toxic metabolite, 'ascochytine', see Iwai & Mishima in Chemy Ind. 4: 186–187. 1965 and Verona & Treggi in Agricoltura ital., Pisa 66 (II, 21): 311-314, 1966.

#### ASCOCHYTA PUNCTATA Naumov

Ascochyta punctata Naumov in Bull. angew. Bot. 6: 204. 1913.

Note: This species commonly occurs on cultivars of the common vetch (spots on leaves, stems and pods; Am.: Leaf and Pod Spot). For differentiating characters against other *Ascochyta* species on leguminous plants see Ondřej *in* Biológia, Bratisl. 23: 803–818. 1968. Cultures of *A. punctata* are characterized by a yellow discolouration of the media; pycnidia usually do not develop in culture. In older literature, this fungus has often been misidentified as *A. viciae* Lib., a different species which occurs on the wild bush vetch, *Vicia sepium* L. (see Ondřej l.c.). Sprague *in* Phytopathology 19: 917–932. 1929 regarded the various *Ascochyta* species described from *Vicia* spp. only as forms of *A. pisi* Lib. (q.v.) and therefore emended the description of the latter. *A. punctata* and *A. pisi*, however, are always readily distinguishable by their conidial dimensions [in *A. punctata* in vitro within the range 18–40(44) × 6–10 μm], host relations and cultural characteristics. See also Ondřej *in* Biológia, Bratisl. 25: 679–690. 1970.

#### ASCOCHYTA VICIAE-VILLOSAE Ondřej

Ascochyta viciae-villosae Ondřej in Biológia, Bratisl. 23: 815. 1968.

Note: This species causes spots on leaves, stems and pods of cultivars of hairy vetch (Am.: Leaf and Pod spot); incidentally it may also attack cultivars of the common vetch. The fungus can readily be distinguished from A. punctata Naumov (q.v.) by the conidial dimensions (in vitro mostly  $18-29 \times 2.5-3.5 \, \mu m$ ) and cultural characteristics (greenish mycelium, no discolouration of the medium, abundant sporulation). For differentiating characters against other Ascochyta species on leguminous plants see Ondřej l.c.In older literature the fungus was frequently misidentified as A. viciae Lib. or A. pisi Lib., see the note under A. punctata.

#### AUREOBASIDIUM CAULIVORUM (Kirchn.) W. B. Cooke

Aureobasidium caulivorum (Kirchn.) W. B. Cooke in Mycopath. Mycol. appl. 17: 37. 1962.

- ≡ Gloeosporium caulivorum Kirchner in Z. PflKrankh. 12: 13. 1902.
- ≡ Kabatiella caulivora (Kirchn.) Karakulin in Notul. syst. Inst. cryptog. Horti bot. petropol. 2: 108. 1923.

Note: In phytopathological literature this causal organism of clover Scorch (Am.: Northern Anthracnose) is commonly known as Kabatiella caulivora. However, the studies by W. B. Cooke l.c.; 1-43 and Hermanides-Nijhof in Stud. Mycol. 15: 141-177. 1977, have shown that the genera Aureobasidium Viala & Boyer (1891) and Kabatiella Bubák (1907) cannot be sharply differentiated in culture and therefore should be combined. For the cultural characteristics of A. caulivorum see Hermanides-Nijhof l.c.: 149. Generally scorch is an important disease in Europe of red clover only, cultivars of which show wide differences in susceptibility. Descriptions of the disease on red clover can be found in Butler & Jones, Pl. Path. 471-473. 1949 and Sampson & Western, Dis. Br. Grasses herb. Leg., ed. 2, 66-67. 1954. A somewhat similar disease of red clover with which scorch has at times been confused is Anthracnose (Am.: Southern Anthracnose), caused by Colletotrichum trifolii S. M. Bain & Essary (q.v.). For a review of the extensive literature on A. caulivorum, including information on other clovers which occasionally have been found attacked by the fungus, see Massenot & Raynal in Annls Phytopath. 5: 88-92. 1973. In Australia and North America the fungus recently caused considerable losses in several cultivars of subterranean clover, see Helms in Aust. J. agric. Res. 26: 511–520, 647–655. 1975, and Helms in Phytopathology 65: 197–201. 1975.

## BOTRYTIS CINEREA Pers. ex Nocca & Balb.

rn Botrytis cinerea Pers. ex Nocca & Balbis, Fl. Ticinensis 2: 367. 1821; Persoon, Mycol. eur. 1: 32. 1822.

: Fries, Syst. mycol. 3 [Sect. 2]: 396-397. 1832.

dn = Botrytis cinerea Persoon, Syn. meth. Fung. 690. 1801.

Note: This Grey Mould, which includes a group of morphologically almost inseparable conidial states, is also discussed in Series 2a of this check-list [in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82: 198. 1976]. Petersen in Mycotaxon 2: 159 (151-165). 1975 pointed out that the name B. cinerea Pers. was first revalidated by Nocca & Balbis l.c. [see also Petersen in Mycotaxon 1: 163 (149–188). 1975], therefore the author-citation 'Pers. ex Pers.' given in check-list 2a must be replaced by 'Pers, ex Nocca & Balbis'. Under suitably humid conditions B. cinerea may attack all pulse and forage crops. On field (broad) beans and vetches it plays a relatively minor role in causing Chocolate Spot, the major cause of which is Botrytis fabae Sardiña (q.v.). The ascomycete Botryotinia fuckeliana (de Bary) Whetzel 

Sclerotinia fuckeliana (de Bary) Fuckel, is in literature usually cited as the perfect state of B. cinerea; but not all isolates of the Botrytis cinerea-complex belong to B. fuckeliana. According to Polach & Abawi in Phytopathology 65: 657-660. 1975, B. fuckeliana may play a major role in the epidemiology of grey mould of dwarf beans. For descriptions and illustrations of B. cinerea see Ellis, Dematiac. Hyphom. 179-180. 1971 and Ellis & Waller in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 431. 1974. The occurrence of microconidia (Myrioconium sp.) is reported by Brierley in Bull. misc. Inf. R. bot. Gdns Kew 1918 (4): 129-146.1918.

156

#### BOTRYTIS FABAE Sardina

Botrytis fabae Sardiña in Mems R. Soc. esp. Hist. nat. 15: 291. 1929.

Note: The major cause of Chocolate Spot of field (broad) beans and vetches. This fungus can be distinguished from the above listed *B. cinerea* Pers. ex Nocca & Balb., which is also occasionally associated with chocolate spot, by the relatively large conidia and small sclerotia, see e.g. Sundheim *in* Acta Agric. scand. 23: 43–51. 1973. For descriptions and illustrations of *B. fabae* see Ellis, Dematiac. Hyphom. 183–184. 1971; Gerlach & Rudnick *in* NachrBl. dt. PflSchutzdienst., Stuttg. 24: 115–117. 1972; and Ellis & Waller *in* C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 432. 1974. The occurrence of microconidia (*Myrioconium* sp.) is reported by Harrison & Hargreaves *in* Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 69: 332–335. 1977. Data on the biology of the fungus are summarized by Bremer *in* Z. PflKrankh. PflPath. PflSchutz 61: 402–404. 1954; see also Ellis & Waller l.c. The role of seed-borne infection in the epidemiology of *B. fabae* on field beans has been studied by Harrison *in* Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 70: 35–40. 1978.

#### CERCOSPORA ZONATA Wint.

Cercospora zonata Winter in Bolm a. Soc. broteriana [Bolm Soc. broteriana] 2: 49. 1883; in Hedwigia 23: 191. 1884.

= Cercospora fabae Fautrey apud Roumeguère in Fungi sel. exs., Cent. 56, No. 5588. 1891; in Revue mycol. 13: 13. 1891.

Note: One of the two fungi causing Leaf Spot of field (broad) beans. The leaf spots can be differentiated from those caused by *Ascochyta Jabae* Speg. (q.v.) by having a slightly raised chocolate-coloured encircling ridge. The fungus is also recorded from other species of *Vicia*, see Chupp, Monogr. Cercospora 342. 1953. For a detailed description and other synonyms see Chupp l.c. An illustration of conidia and conidiophores together with a short description can be found in Ellis, More dematiac. Hyphom. 264. 1976.

#### CHALARA ELEGANS Nag Raj & B. Kendr.

Chalara elegans Nag Raj & B. Kendrick, Monograph Chalara 111. 1975.

- = Thielaviopsis basicola (Berk. & Br.) Ferraris in Fl. ital. crypt. 1 (8): 233–234. 1912.

Note: The causal organism of Black Root Rot of plants in over fifteen families, including most legumes and herbage legumes. It is commonly known under the name *Thielaviopsis basicola*, which refers to the characteristic chlamydospore state of the fungus. The preferred name *Chalara elegans* is based on the phialidic form of sporulation, which gives more information about the natural affinity of the fungus, see the "Monograph of *Chalara* and allied genera" by Nag Raj & Kendrick (l.c.; 200 pp.). In older literature, erroneously a metagenetic relation with the ascomycete *Thielavia basicola* Zopf is supposed see the documentation in Series 1b of this check-list [in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 79: 177. 1973]. For descriptions of *C. elegans* see Subramanian in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 170. 1968; Ellis, Dematiac. Hyphom. 31–32. 1971; and Nag Raj & Kendrick l.c. Strains are known differing in cultural characters and pathogenicity, see e.g. Stover in Can. J. Res., Sect. C,

28: 445–470. 1950. For cultural characters see also Rawlings in Ann. Mo. bot. Gdn 27: 561–598. 1940. Lists of hosts are given by Johnson in J. agric. Res. 7: 289–300. 1916 (under 'Thielavia basicola') and in J. agric. Res. 58: 856–858. 1939.

#### COLLETOTRICHUM LINDEMUTHIANUM (Sacc. & Magn.) Scribn.

Colletotrichum lindemuthianum (Sacc. & Magn.) Scribner in Orchard Gdn 11: 193–194. [Oct.] 1889 [in Rep. Chf Sect. Veg. Path. U. S. Dep. Agric. 1887: 364. 1888, Scribner already pointed out that the fungus was most probably a Colletotrichum].

- ≡ Gloeosporium lindemuthianum Saccardo & Magnus in Michelia 1(2): 129. 1878.
- H ≡ Colletotrichum lindemuthianum (Sacc. & Magn.) Briosi & Cavara in Funghi parass. Fasc. 2, No. 50. 1889 [see note].

Note: Well known as causal organism of Anthracnose of dwarf beans, but it has also been found on various other leguminous plants. Stevenson in Pl. Dis. Reptr 40: 831. 1956 made it plausible that Scribner's publication (1889 l.c.) of the combination C. lindemuthianum antedates that of Briosi & Cavara (l.c.). For descriptions, hosts, disease symptoms, specialization, transmission and other data see Butler & Jones, Pl. Path. 603-607. 1944; Aćimović in Zašt. Bilja 15(79): 229-281. 1964; and Mordue in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 316. 1971. Infections of seeds and seedlings are treated by e.g. Champion in Revue Agriculture 322: 3-4. 1969 (seedtesting) and Meirleire in Phytoma 179 [June]: 26-28. 1966. New races of C. lindemuthianum pathogenic to previously resistant cultivars of dwarf beans are frequently reported, see e.g. Hoffmann, Schnock & Krüger in Z. PflKrankh. [PflPath.] PflSchutz 81: 490-493. 1974. Some of the physiologic races can be differentiated by their morphological and cultural characteristics. The fungus is always readily distinguishable from C. gloeosporioides (Penz.) Penz., the conidial state of the plurivorous Glomerella cingulata (Ston.) Spauld. & Schrenk [check-list 1a in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 78, Suppl. 1: 17. 1972] by its slow growth and dark pigmentation in culture, see von Arx in Tijdschr. PlZiekt. 63: 171-190. 1957 and Ferraz in Agronomia lusit. 38: 163-179. 1977.

## COLLETOTRICHUM TRIFOLII S. M. Bain & Essary

Colletotrichum trifolii S. M. Bain & Essary in J. Mycol. 12: 193. 1906.

Note: This fungus is well known as the causal organism of Anthracnose (Am.: Southern Anthracnose) of lucerne, red clover and serradella. Various other herbage legumes are also susceptible, but white clover, alsike or Swedish clover and subterranean clover are apparently resistant; see Kort in Versl. Meded. plziektenk. Dienst Wageningen 129 (Jaarb. 1955): 179–183. 1956. For a review of the literature on C. trifolii, including information on resistant cultivars of red clover and lucerne, see Massenot & Raynal in Annls Phytopath. 5: 86–88. 1973. Since the fungus is primarily a high-temperature organism, anthracnose of forage crops is especially an important disease in warm countries. On red clover the disease may be confused with Scorch (Am.: Northern Anthracnose), caused by Aureobasidium caulivorum (Kirchn.) W. B. Cooke (q.v.). Morphologically C. trifolii resembles the plurivorous C. gloeosporioides (Penz.) Penz., the conidial state of Glomerella cingulata (Ston.) Spauld. & Schrenk [check-list 1a in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 78, Suppl. 1: 17.

1972]. However, apart from its pathogenicity to leguminous plants and the absence of a perfect state, *C. trifolii* can be differentiated by its cultural characteristics, see von Arx *in* Phytopath. Z. 29: 448. 1957 and Dingley *in* N. Z. Jl agric. Res. 8: 911. 1965. The disease symptoms on lucerne, red clover and serradella are described [Dutch] and illustrated *in* Versl. Meded. plziektenk. Dienst Wageningen 92: 70, 84, 92–93. 1961. See also Kort l.c. For other species of *Colletotrichum* recorded on leguminous plants (weakly pathogenic or saprophytic) see Massenot & Raynal l.c.: 84–86, and Raynal *in* Annls Phytopath. 9: 193–203. 1977.

#### ERYSIPHE PISI DC. ex St.-Am.

rn Erysiphe pisi DC. ex de Saint-Amans, Fl. agén. 614. 1821.

 $dn \equiv Erysiphe pisi$  de Candolle in de Candolle & de Lamarck, Fl. fr. [ed. 3] 2: 274. 1805.

V rn = Erysiphe communis (Wallr.) ex Schlechtendal, Fl. berol. Crypt. 2:
168. 1824 [in or shortly before Aug.]; Link in C. Linn. Sp. Pl. [Ed. Willd.], ed. 4, 6(1): 105. 1824 [month of publication not known]
[in both cases as 'Erysibe c.'].

: Fries, Syst. mycol. **3** [Sect. 1]: 239. 1829 [combination ascribed to Schlechtendal] [rejected ambiguous name, see note].

dn ≡ Alphitomorpha communis Wallroth in Verh. Ges. naturf. Freunde, Berl. 1: 31. 1819 [type on *Pisum* cf. Junell in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 48: 541–542. 1965].

Note: This Powdery Mildew of peas, lucerne, vetches and other leguminous plants can be distinguished from E. trifolii Grev. (q.v.), which is more commonly found on herbage legumes, by the length and shape of the cleistothecial appendages. For descriptions see e.g. Blumer, Echte Mehltaupilze 216-219. 1967 and Kapoor in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 155. 1967. The name E. communis accepted by Fries for this fungus has been used in many different senses and therefore should be rejected according to Art. 69 (ambiguous name); see the discussion by Junell l.c. In American literature the powdery mildews of leguminous plants are commonly treated according to the nomenclature of Salmon in Mem. Torrey bot. Club 9 [Monograph Erysiphaceae 292 pp.]. 1900, i.e. classified under 'Erysiphe polygoni sensu lato'; see e.g. the recent study of Parmelee in Can. J. Bot. 55: 1950-1953 [1940-1983]. 1977. In Europe E. polygoni DC. ex St.-Am. [sensu stricto] is only recorded on Polygonaceae, see Blumer l.c.: 220-222 and Junell in Symb. bot. upsal. 19 (1): 41. 1967. Ervsiphe pisi shows marked host specialization which has led to the differentiation of four formae speciales. The host specialization is partly correlated with differences in size of the cleistothecia, see Blumer l.c.

## f. sp. MEDICAGINIS-LUPULINAE [(Hammarl.) comb. nov.]

≡ Erysiphe communis f. sp. medicaginis-lupulinae Hammarlund in Ḥereditas 6: 29. 1925.

Note: This powdery mildew of black medick (yellow trefoil) should not attack other species of *Medicago* (cf. Hammarlund l.c.).

## f. sp. **MEDICAGINIS-SATIVAE** [(Hammarl.) comb. nov.]

*Erysiphe communis* f. sp. *medicaginis-sativae* Hammarlund *in* Hereditas **6**: 29. 1925.

Note: Hammarlund l.c. found that this powdery mildew of (common)

lucerne (subsp. sativa) also attacks the wild Medicago sativa subsp. falcata (L.) Arcang. (sickle medick).

## f. sp. PISI[(Hammarl.) comb. nov.]

≡ Erysiphe communis f. sp. pisi Hammarlund in Hereditas 6: 35. 1925. Note: Powdery mildew of field and garden peas [includes the type of the species]. Other leguminous plants are apparently not susceptible for this special form, see Hammarlund l.c. and Blumer l.c. See further the bibliography given by Kapoor l.c.

# f. sp. VICIAE-SATIVAE [(Hammarl.) comb. nov.]

≡ Erysiphe communis f. sp. viciae-sativae Hammarlund in Hereditas 6: 33. 1925.

Note: This powdery mildew of common vetch can also attack the wild *Vicia sepium* L. (bush vetch) and *Vicia sylvatica* (wood vetch). Other species of *Vicia* have not been reported as being susceptible (cf. Hammarlund l.c.).

#### ERYSIPHE TRIFOLII Grev.

Erysiphe trifolii Greville, Fl. edin. 459. 1824.

Note: This common Powdery Mildew of clovers, lupins and other leguminous plants can be distinguished from E. pisi DC. ex St.-Am. (q.v.) by the much longer and occasionally dichotomously branched appendages of the cleistothecia. For description see e.g. Kapoor in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 156. 1967. Blumer in Beitr, KryptogFlora Schweiz 7(1): 208-224. 1933 treated this fungus as 'Ervsiphe martii sensu stricto'; see also Blumer, Echte Mehltaupilze 230–235. 1967. The name E. martii was introduced by Léveillé [in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) III, 15: 166. 1851] for species with 'colourless' appendages occurring on plants of different families. This species-complex includes the types of various previously described species, and E. martii Lév. is therefore a superfluous name which must be rejected (Art. 63); see the discussion by Junell in Svensk bot. Tidskr. 61: 209-210, 217, 1967, who concluded that E. martii must be regarded as an illegitimate synonym of the powdery mildew of Cruciferae, E. cruciferarum Opiz ex Junell. As noted above under E. pisi, American authors generally follow Salmon, in Mem, Torrey bot, Club 9 [Monograph Erysiphaceae 292 pp.]. 1900, who arranged all powdery mildews of leguminous plants under 'Erysiphe polygoni sensu lato'; however, Parmelee in Can. J. Bot. 55: 1953 [1940-1983]. 1977 accepted E. trifolii as a separate species. For hosts of E. trifolii see Blumer 1933 l.c. and Junell in Symb. bot. upsal. 19(1): 47-48. 1967. Within the species, undoubtedly many host-related forms occur. However, the results of cross inoculation experiments recorded by different workers are so contradictory (see Blumer 1967 l.c.) that it is at present not opportune to attempt a differentiation of the species into separate formae speciales. For the disease on clover see Stavely & Hanson in Phytopathology 56: 940-943, 957-962. 1966. See further the bibliography given by Blumer 1967 l.c. and Kapoor l.c.

## FUSARIUM OXYSPORUM Schlecht.

Fusarium oxysporum Schlechtendal, Fl. berol. Crypt. 2: 139. 1824 [emend. Snyder & Hansen p.p. in Am. J. Bot. 27: 64–67. 1940].

: Fries, Syst. mycol. 3 [Sect. 2]: 471. 1832.

Note: For general descriptions of this most labile and variable species see Booth *in* C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 211. 1970; and Booth, Genus Fusarium 130–134. 1971. Within the species, numerous pathogenic formae speciales are recognized and many of these have been found to consist of different races, see e.g. Armstrong & Armstrong *in* Phytopathology 58: 1242–1246. 1968; and Booth 1971, l.c. In the Netherlands the following occur on leguminous plants:

# f. sp. LUPINI [Snyd. & Hans.]

Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lupini Snyder & Hansen in Am. J. Bot. 27: 66, 1940.

Note: Armstrong & Armstrong in Phytopathology **54**: 1232–1235. 1964 distinguish three races of this causal organism of Fusarium Wilt of lupins. The development of the fungus in resistant and susceptible varieties of yellow lupins has been studied in detail by Saaltink in Neth. J. Pl. Path. **69**: 3–75. 1963.

# f. sp. PHASEOLI [Kendr. & Snyd.]

Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. phaseoli Kendrick & Snyder in Phytopathology 32: 1013. 1942.

Note: The causal organism of Fusarium Yellows of dwarf beans; see the study by Kendrick & Snyder l.c.: 1010–1014.

## f. sp. PISI [(Linf.) Snyd. & Hans.]

Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. pisi (Linf.) Snyder & Hansen in Am. J. Bot. 27; 66, 1940.

≡ Fusarium orthoceras var. pisi Linford in Res. Bull. agric. Exp. Stn Univ. Wis. 85: 11. 1928.

Note: This specialized form has been differentiated in many races, see Hubbeling *in* Meded. Fak. LandbWet. Gent **39** (2): 991–1000. 1974 and Kraft & Haglund *in* Phytopathology **68**: 273–275. 1978.

In the Netherlands are distinguished:

Race 1, which causes the Fusarium Wilt of peas first described by Linford (l.c.: 1–44). This disease has been studied in the Netherlands by Schreuder *in* Tijdschr. PlZiekt. 57: 175–206. 1951.

Race 2, the causal fungus of Fusarium Near-Wilt of peas, described by Snyder & Walker in Zentbl. Bakt. ParasitKde, Abt. II, 91: 355–378. 1935. Hubbeling (l.c.) established that race 3, distinguished by Schreuder (l.c.) agrees with race 2. The near-wilt symptoms caused by this race, in the Netherlands indicated as 'Vroege vergeling' (Early Yellowing), appeared to be enhanced by the nematode Rotylenchus robustus (de Man), see Labruyère, Den Ouden & Seinhorst in Nematologica 4: 336–343. 1959. For a bibliography of the literature on F. oxysporum f. sp. pisi see e.g. Lorenzini, Mannerucci, Triolo & Gambogi in Riv. Patol. veg., Pavia IV, 11: 25–50. 1975.

#### HELICOBASIDIUM BREBISSONII (Desm.) Donk

Helicobasidium brebissonii (Desm.) Donk in Taxon 7: 164, 1958.

= Protonema brebissonii Desmazières in Pl. cryptog. N. France [ed. 1] Fasc. 14, No. 651. 1834; in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) II, 6: 242-243. 1836.

= Helicobasidium purpureum Patouillard in Bull. Soc. bot. Fr. 32: 172, 1885.

stat. myc. RHIZOCTONIA CROCORUM (Pers.) DC. ex Mérat

- rn Rhizoctonia crocorum (Pers.) DC. ex Mérat, Nouv. Fl. Env. Paris, ed. 2, 1: 134–135. 1821.
  - : Fries, Syst. mycol. 2 [Sect. 1]: 265, 1822.
- dn ≡ Rhizoctonia crocorum (Pers.) de Candolle in Mém. Mus. Hist. nat., Paris 2: 216. 1815; in de Candolle & de Lamarck, Fl. fr. [ed. 3] 5 [6]: 110. 1815 ["1805"].
- $dn \equiv Sclerotium\ crocorum\ Persoon,\ Syn.\ meth.\ Fung.\ 119.\ 1801.$

Note: This causal organism of Violet Root Rot, in phytopathological literature commonly known as *H. purpureum*, is also listed in Series 2a of this check-list [in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82: 200–201. 1976]. Its mycelial state represents the type of the formgenus *Rhizoctonia* DC. ex Fr. It is a soil-inhabiting fungus that attacks the underground parts of a wide range of plants, including various pulse and forage crops. For descriptions and illustrations of both states of the fungus see Buddin & Wakefield in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 12: 116–140. 1927; and McNabb in N. Z. Jl Bot. 4: 533–535. 1966. For disease symptoms (illustrations) and notes on the biology see Anonymous in Adv. Leafl. Minist. Agric. Fish. Fd, Lond. 346. 1974.

#### LEPTOSPHAERULINA TRIFOLII (Rostr.) Petr. [sensu stricto]

Leptosphaerulina trifolii (Rostr.) Petrak in Sydowia 13: 76. 1959.

- ≡ *Sphaerulina trifolii* Rostrup *in* Bot. Tidsskr. **22**: 265. 1899.
- ≡ Pseudoplea trifolii (Rostr.) Petrak in Annls mycol. 19: 29. 1921.
- Saccothecium trifolii (Rostr.) Kirschstein in Krypt.-Fl. Mark Brandenb. 7 (3): 428. 1938.

Note: This species represents the causal organism of Burn (Am.: Pepper Spot) of clovers, a leaf spot disease which is especially common on white clover. Very similar fungi have been recorded on other leguminous plants, as well as on plants of the Cruciferae, Euphorbiaceae, Gramineae and Solanaceae. For this reason, Booth & Pirozynski in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 146. 1967 treat Leptosphaerulina trifolii as a species of world-wide distribution with a very broad host range, including pathogenic as well as saprophytic strains. However, the detailed study of the characteristics of L. trifolii from clovers by Graham & Luttrell in Phytopathology 51: 680–693. 1961 has shown that in vivo as well as in vitro it can actually be differentiated from those other fungi. For the characters used to differentiate this organism from L. briosiana (Pollacci) Graham & Luttrell (l.c.), primarily pathogenic on Medicago spp. (not yet recorded in the Netherlands), see also Barrière, Massenot & Raynal in Annls Phytopath. 6: 341-347. 1974. In the field L. trifolii is generally restricted to Trifolium spp., but it appears to be capable of infecting other herbage legumes, see Graham & Luttrell l.c. Data on disease symptoms on clovers can be found in Sampson & Western, Dis. Br. Grasses herb. Leg., ed. 2, 72-73. 1954. See also O'Rourke, Dis. Grasses for. Legumes Ireland 61–63. 1976.

#### MYCOSPHAERELLA KILLIANI Petr.

Mycosphaerella killiani Petrak in Annls mycol. 39: (322–)324. 1941 [not Mycosphaerella trifolii (P. Karst.) Moesz in Magy. tudom. Akad. Balkan-Kutat. Tud.

Eredm. 3: 138. 1926].

- Plowrightia trifolii Killian in Revue Path. vég. Ent. agric. Fr. 10: 219. 1923 [often erroneously cited as a new combination of the spermogonial state dn Sphaeria trifolii Persoon, Syn. meth. Fung. 30. 1801 = rn Sphaeria trifolii Pers. ex Schweinitz in Schr. naturf. Ges. Leipzig 1 (= Syn. Fung. Car. sup.): 35. 1822: Fries, Syst. mycol. 2 (Sect. 2): 435. 1823].
- ≡ Cymadothea trifolii (Killian) Wolf in Mycologia 27: 71(58–73). 1935 [as '(Pers.) comb. nov.', but referring to the perithecial state as described by Killian; see also note].
- = Dothidella trifolii Bayliss-Elliott & Stansfield in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 9: 226–227, 1924.

stat. con. POLYTHRINCIUM TRIFOLII Kunze ex Fic. & Schub.

rn Polythrincium trifolii Kunze ex Ficinus & Schubert, Fl. Geg. Dresd. [II] Krypt. 287. 1823.

: Fries, Syst. mycol. 3 (Sect. 2): 368. 1832.

dn ≡ Polythrincium trifolii Kunze in Schmidt & Kunze, Deutschl. Schwämme 5: 5. 1816 [exs. No. 121].

Note: Causal organism of Black Blotch (Am.: Sooty Blotch) of clovers, in particular crimson, red, and white clover. For disease symptoms, biology, host range and descriptions of the conidial state and the spermogonia and perithecia, which are produced in stromata, see Wolf l.c., Sampson & Western, Dis. Br. Grasses herb. Leg., ed. 2, 70-72. 1954; and Booth & O'Rourke in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 393. 1973. In these papers the fungus is named Cymadothea trifolii [with different incorrect author-citations, '(Pers.) Wolf', 'Wolf', '(Pers. ex Fr.) Wolf'; see the discussion by Laundon in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 60: 324. 1973]. We follow Petrak l.c., von Arx in Sydowia 3: 37-38. 1949 and Dennis, Br. Ascomycetes 364. 1968, who treat Cymadothea Wolf as only a section of Mycosphaerella Johans. The fungus was already placed in different ascomycete genera before the ascocarps were seen. Fries, Summ. Veg. Scand. [2] 387. 1849 suggested that the ascigerous state should be a species of *Dothidea*, D. trifolii Fr. [Θ; treated as comb. nov. of the spermogonial state Sphaeria trifolii Pers. ex Schw.]. Fuckel in Jb. nassau. Ver. Natuurk. 23-24 [= Symb. mycol.]: 218. 1870 ["1869 und 1870"] introduced the provisional name Phyllachora trifolii Fuckel [Θ] for the perfect state. Afterwards Saccardo, in Sylloge Fung. 9: 1020, 1891, added a description to *Phyllachora trifolii* [as '(Pers.) Fuckel'] based on a herbarium specimen discussed by Cooke in Grevillea 13: 63. 1885, but it is now known that this description is not in accordance with the characteristics of the perfect state of the fungus. Clover infected by M. killiani was found to be toxic to grazing animals, see the literature references given by Booth & O'Rourke l.c.

## MYCOSPHAERELLA PINODES (Berk. & Blox.) Vestergr.

Mycosphaerella pinodes (Berk. & Blox.) Vestergren in Bih. K. svenska Vetensk-Akad. Handl. 22: 15. 1896.

- ≡ Sphaeria pinodes Berkeley & Bloxam in Ann. Mag. nat. Hist. III, 7: 454. 1861.
- $H \equiv Mycosphaerella pinodes$  (Berk. & Blox.) Stone in Annls mycol. 10: 581. 1912.

- Didymellina pinodes (Berk. & Blox.) von Höhnel in Annls mycol.

  16: 67. 1918.
- ≡ Didymella pinodes (Berk. & Blox.) Petrak in Annls mycol. 22: 16. 1924.

stat. con. ASCOCHYTA PINODES L. K. Jones

Ascochyta pinodes L. K. Jones in Bull. N. Y. St. agric. Exp. Stn 547: 4. 1927 [as 'A. p. (Berk. & Blox.) n. comb.'].

Note: One of the two causal fungi of Foot Rot of field and garden peas. The other causal organism of this disease is Phoma medicaginis var. pinodella (L. K. Jones) Boerema (q.v.; syn. Ascochyta pinodella L. K. Jones). On the leaves, stems and pods of peas, M. pinodes produces small purplish-brown spots (Am.: Mycosphaerella Blight). Baumann in NachrBl. dt. PflSchutzdienst, Berl. II, 8 (= I, 24): 69-75. 1954 established that this fungus is also able to attack other leguminous plants. Furthermore, the fungus has also been isolated from non-leguminous plants, see e.g. Boerema in Versl. Meded. plziektenk. Dienst Wageningen 133 (Jaarb. 1958): 136-138. 1959. For descriptions of both states of the fungus and data on disease symptoms and biology see Punithalingam & Holliday in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 340. 1972. The generic position of the perfect state has been discussed by van Warmelo in Bothalia 9 (1): 183-194. 1966. The conidial state represents a typical species of Ascochyta Lib.: distoseptate conidia, see the note under A. pisi Lib. and Boerema & Bollen in Persoonia 8: 111-144. 1975. In vitro characteristics used to differentiate the fungus from A. pisi and P. medicaginis var. pinodella may be found in L. K. Jones I.c.: 1-46; Wehlburg, Onderz. Erwtenanthracnose [Diss., Baarn] 10-12. 1932; Hare & Walker in Res. Bull. agric. Exp. Stn Univ. Wis. 150: 15-20. 1944; and Handb. Seed Health Testing [Ed. Int. Seed Test. Ass.] III Working Sheets 16. 1964, 42 and 49. 1968.

## PERONOSPORA TRIFOLIORUM de Bary [sensu lato]

Peronospora trifoliorum de Bary in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) IV, **20**: 117–118. 1863 [lectotype on *Trifolium medium* L., see Liro, Mycoth. fenn. Etiketten [labels] 9 (No. 20). 1934].

- = Peronospora trifolii-hybridi Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 5 (4): 211. 1923.
  - ≅ Peronospora trifolii-repentis f. trifolii-hybridi (Gäum.) Cherepanova in Nov. Sist. niz. Rast. 14: 132. 1977.
- = Peronospora trifolii-repentis H. Sydow apud Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 5 (4): 215. 1923.
- = Peronospora trifolii-pratensis Gustavsson in Op. bot. Soc. bot. Lund. 3 (1): 136. 1959.
  - ≡ Peronospora trifoliorum f. trifolii-pratensis (Gust.) Cherepanova in Nov. Sist. niz. Rast. 14: 132. 1977.
- = Peronospora meliloti H. Sydow apud Gäumann in Beitr. Kryptog-Flora Schweiz 5 (4): 203–204. 1923.

Note: P. trifoliorum is treated here according to the 'old' species concept including those Downy Mildews on leguminous plants, which belong to the 'Effusae-group', characterized by smooth oospores and a thin non-persistent, one-layered oogonium wall. Downy mildews on leguminous plants with more or less reticulate oospores

are placed under *P. viciae* (Berk.) Casp. sensu lato (q.v.). Conidial fructifications of *P. trifoliorum* usually occur on the leaves (under-surfaces) of its host, in contrast with *P. viciae* which occurs on stems as well.

On cultivated and wild clovers, *P. trifoliorum* sensu lato embraces a large number of forms, apparently specialized on particular *Trifolium* species. Most of these host forms have been raised to specific rank on biometrical and minor morphological differences in the conidia and in the apices of the conidiophores by Gäumann l.c.: 210–216, Gustavsson l.c.: 133–141 and Rayss *in* Probleme act. Biol. Ştiinţe agric. 40: 195–204. 1961; but Cherepanova l.c. [129–134] reduced some back to form rank. The differentiating criteria of these 'minor' species or forms are difficult to use in identification work and are of doubtful taxonomic value, see the discussion by Ramsfjell *in* Nytt Mag. Bot. 8: 148. 1960, and Waterhouse in Ainsworth, Sparrow & Sussman, The Fungi IV B, 171–172. 1973. This is also the reason why in modern phytopathological literature only the name *P. trifoliorum* is usually used for downy mildews found on clovers. It would seem reasonable to consider the different minor taxa described from clovers as separate formae speciales of *P. trifoliorum*, but such a phytopathological differentiation requires in our opinion more evidence which could be obtained from comparative inoculation experiments.

The downy mildew of white sweet clover and other species of *Melilotus* is likewise regarded by Gäumann l.c. and Gustavsson l.c.: 132–133 as a separate species: *P. meliloti*. This downy mildew can thus be considered as a specialized host form of *P. trifoliorum* sensu lato, but additional comparative inoculation experiments are still needed.

## f. sp. **MEDICAGINIS-SATIVAE** [f. sp. nov.]

= Peronospora aestivalis H. Sydow apud Gäumann in Beitr. Kryptog-Flora Schweiz 5(4): 200–201. 1923.

Note: This special form which we propose to distinguish within *P. trifoliorum* sensu lato, includes the well-known downy mildew of lucerne and is characterized by its adaptation to the two subspecies of *Medicago sativa*. See Gustavsson l.c.: 130–131 and Faĭzieva *in* Uzbek. biol. Zh. 12 (5): 13–15. 1968 under the synonym *P. aestivalis*. In susceptible cultivars of lucerne, infection may be systemic causing stunting and yellowing of the new shoots, see e.g. Jones & Torrie *in* Phytopathology 36: 1057–1059. 1946. For data on the development of conidial fructifications see Fried & Stuteville *in* Phytopathology 67: 890–894. 1977. See also Waite *in* Utah Sci. 32: 98–99. 1971. According to Rayss *in* Palest. J. Bot., Jerusalem Ser. 3: 158. 1945 the downy mildews occurring on other *Medicago* spp. do not belong to the '*Effusae*-group' and therefore should not be arranged under *P. trifoliorum*.

## PERONOSPORA VICIAE (Berk.) Casp. [sensu lato]

Peronospora viciae (Berk.) Caspary in Ber. Verh. preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berl. 1855: 330. 1855.

- *Botrytis viciae* Berk. *in* Jl [R.] hort. Soc. 1: 31. 1846 [type on *Vicia sativa* L.].
- H ≡ Peronospora viciae (Berk.) Berkeley ex de Bary in Annls Sci. nat.
   (Bot.) IV, 20: 112–113. 1863.

- H ≡ Peronospora viciae (Berk.) Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 5 (4): 218–219. 1923.
  - = Peronospora viciae-sativae Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 5 (4): 219–220. 1923.

Note: P. viciae sensu lato includes those Downy Mildews on leguminous plants. which belong to the 'Reticulatae-group', characterized by more or less reticulate oospores. For description of P. viciae in this 'old' species concept see Mukerji in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 455. 1975. For the downy mildews on leguminous plants with smooth oospores see under P. trifoliorum de Bary sensu lato. Conidial fructifications of P. viciae may be found on the stems as well as on the undersides of the leaves of its hosts (P. trifoliorum occurs only on leaves). On field or broad beans, downy mildew is uncommon in Europe, but the cultivated vetches, especially common vetch, are frequently infected by downy mildew and may suffer severely. On cultivated and wild vetches, P. viciae sensu lato embraces a number of forms apparently specialized on particular Vicia species. These host forms are described as separate species in the biometric studies by Gäumann l.c.: 216-221 and Gustavsson in Op. bot. Soc. bot. Lund. 3 (1): 143-148. 1959. In our opinion, these host forms should be considered merely as formae speciales, but additional comparative inoculation experiments are needed before such a phytopathological differentiation can be made. See also the discussion under P. trifoliorum.

## f. sp. **PISI** [(H. Syd.) comb. nov.]

≅ Peronospora pisi H. Sydow apud Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 5(4): 209. 1923.

Note: This specialized form represents the downy mildew of field and garden peas, which is prevalent in Western Europe and may reduce yield considerably in severe circumstances (Olofsson *in* Pl. Dis. Reptr **50**: 257–261. 1966). For the biology of *P. viciae* f. sp. *pisi* and the development of local and systemic infections see Mence & Pegg *in* Ann. appl. Biol. **67**: 297–308. 1971 and Pegg & Mence *in* Ann. appl. Biol. **66**: 417–428. 1970 and **71**: 19–31. 1972. Pod infections (usually associated with internal intumescences) are described by Snyder *in* Phytopathology **24**: 1358–1365. 1934.

#### PHOMA EXIGUA Desm. var. EXIGUA

*Phoma exigua* Desmazières *in* Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) III, **11**: 282–283. 1849, var. *exigua* [varietal name to be cited without an author's name, Art. 26; name automatically established (autonym) by the publication in 1965 of the varietal name *P. exigua* var. *linicola* (Naumov & Vass.) Maas].

= Ascochyta phaseolorum Saccardo in Michelia 1 (2): 164. 1878.

Note: This is one of the two causal fungi of Blotch or Leaf Spot of dwarf beans, in phytopathological literature commonly known as Ascochyta phaseolorum. The other fungus is discussed under Stagonosporopsis hortensis (Sacc. & Malbr.) Petr. (syn. Ascochyta boltshauseri Sacc.). The synonymy of A. phaseolorum with P. exigua var. exigua has been proved by Boerema in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 78: 113–115. 1972. P. e. var. exigua is a weak or wound parasite of world-wide distribution, and especially known by its occurrence in association with gangrene lesions of potato tubers, see Series 2a of this check-list [in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82: 203–204. 1976]. The wide host range of

'A. phaseolorum' has already been established by Crossan in Phytopathology 48: 248-255. 1958 and Alcorn in Aust. J. biol. Sci. 21: 1143-1151. 1968. In vivo a variable percentage of the conidia of the fungus may be 1-septate (varying between 4-85% according to the host), but in vitro the majority of the conidia is always nonseptate. This explains the present arrangement of the fungus under the form-genus Phoma: the conidial septation in species of Phoma is a secondary process, whereas in true Ascochyta species the septation of the conidia is an essential part of the 'finishing' (completion) of the conidial development [see under A. pisi Lib., and Boerema & Bollen in Persoonia 8: 111–144. 1975]. Descriptions, synonyms and hosts of P. e. var. exigua are given in Boerema & Höweler in Persoonia 5 (1): 15-28. 1967; Boerema & Dorenbosch in Stud. Mycol. 3: 25–29. 1973; and Boerema in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 67: 291–295. 1976. Typical strains of P. e. var. exigua produce an antibiotic 'E', which in plate cultures is demonstrable by oxidation with alkali, see Boerema & Höweler l.c. and Logan & O'Neill in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 55: 67-75. 1970. The leaf spots caused by this fungus on dwarf beans are indistinguishable from those caused by S. hortensis. For descriptions of the disease symptoms and other data on the disease see Sneep in Tijdschr. PlZiekt. 51: 1-16. 1945 and Hubbeling in Tuinbouwvoorlichting 3: 39–41. 1955 (with colour plates).

## PHOMA MEDICAGINIS Malbr. & Roum. var. MEDICAGINIS

Phoma medicaginis Malbranche & Roumeguère apud Roumeguère in Fungi gall. exs. No. 3675. 1886 and in Revue mycol. 8: 91. 1886, var. medicaginis [varietal name to be cited without an author's name, Art. 26; name automatically established by the publication in 1965 of the varietal name P. medicaginis var. pinodella (L. K. Jones) Boerema (q.v.)].

- = Phoma herbarum f. medicaginum Westend. ex Fuckel in Jb. nassau. Ver. Naturk. 23-24 [= Symb. mycol.]: 134. 1870 ["1869 und 1870"] [listed by Saccardo in Sylloge Fung. 3: 133. 1884 as 'f. medicaginis Fuck.'].
  - ⊕ ≡ Phoma herbarum f. medicaginum Westendorp in Fungi europ. exs./Klotzschii Herb. mycol. Cont. (Ed. Rabenh.), Cent. 5, No. 455b. 1862 [in phytopathological literature often cited as 'P. herbarum var. medicaginis'].
- = Ascochyta imperfecta Peck in N. Y. St. Mus. Bull. [Bull. N. Y. St. Mus. ] 157: 21. 1912.
- = *Phoma cuscutae* Negru & Verona *in* Mycopath. Mycol. appl. **30**: 308. 1966.

Note: The cultural characteristics, taxonomy and synonymy of this Black Stem fungus of lucerne (Am.: Spring Black Stem) have been discussed by Boerema, Dorenbosch & Leffring in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 71: 79–89. 1965. These authors showed that the fungus can easily be differentiated from *P. medicaginis* var. pinodella (L. K. Jones) Boerema (q.v.) by its growth habit on agar-media. See also Boerema in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 67: 296–298, 308–309. 1976. In phytopathological literature the fungus is often indicated as Ascochyta imperfecta (a name referring to the occasional occurrence of secondarily septate conidia, see note under *Phoma exigua* Desm. var. exigua) or Phoma herbarum 'var. medicaginis' (see also Boerema in Persoonia 6: 36. 1970). Rössner in Phytopath. Z. 63: 101–123. 1968 distinguished two

forms within *P. medicaginis* var. *medicaginis* on account of the occurrence or absence of septate conidia and differences in conidial sizes: 'f. *macrospora*' and 'f *microspora*' (\*nomina nuda). The principal host of *P. m.* var. *medicaginis* is lucerne, but incidentally it may also be found on other leguminous plants (Boerema & al. l.c.). For the disease symptoms on lucerne see e.g. Toovey, Waterston & Brooks *in* Ann. appl. Biol. 23: 705–717. 1936. The fungus may be seed-borne on lucerne (Marasas & Bredell *in* Phytophylactica 5: 89–94. 1973) and is also recorded as a contaminant of seeds of flax and rape (Lakshmanan & Vanterpool *in* Can. J. Bot. 45: 847–853. 1967) as well as seeds of large dodder (Boerema, 1976 l.c.). The fungus produces a crystalline toxic metabolite, 'phomic acid', see Lakshmanan & Vanterpool l.c. and Lakshmanan & Vanterpool *in* Can. J. Bot. 45: 1823–1830. 1967. For the effects of temperature, light, carbon and nitrogen nutrition on the formation of pycnidia and conidia see Chung & Wilcoxson *in* Mycopath. Mycol. appl. 44: 297–308. 1971.

## PHOMA MEDICAGINIS var. PINODELLA (L. K. Jones) Boerema

Phoma medicaginis var. pinodella (L. K. Jones) Boerema apud Boerema, Dorenbosch & Leffring in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 71: 88. 1965.

- ≡ Ascochyta pinodella L. K. Jones in Bull. N. Y. St. agric. Exp. Stn 547: 10. 1927.
- = Phoma trifolii E. M. Johnson & Valleau in Bull. Ky agric. Exp. Stn 339: 73-74. 1933.

Note: This is one of the two fungi involved in Foot Rot of field and garden peas (see also under Mycosphaerella pinodes (Berk. & Blox.) Vestergr.), which in phytopathological literature was formerly known as Ascochyta pinodella [the occasional occurrence of conidial septation (Ascochyta-like) is a secondary process, see the note under Phoma exigua Desm. var. exigua]. P. medicaginis var. pinodella is also the causal organism of Black Stem (Am.: Spring Black Stem) of red clover (syn. Phoma trifolii) and may also occur on other leguminous plants. P. medicaginis var. pinodella can be distinguished from the black stem organism of lucerne, P. medicaginis Malbr. & Roum. var. medicaginis (q.v.), by its general appearance in vitro, see Boerema, Dorenbosch & Leffring l.c. (pp. 79-89). See also Boerema in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 67: 296-297, 308-309. 1976. In vitro characteristics for differentiating this fungus from the pea-parasites Mycosphaerella pinodes (stat. con. Ascochyta pinodes L. K. Jones) and Ascochyta pisi Lib., can be found in Jones in Bull. N. Y. St. agric. Exp. Stn 547: 1-46. 1927; Wehlburg, Onderz. Erwtenanthracnose [Diss., Baarn] 10-12. 1932; Hare & Walker in Res. Bull. agric. Exp. Stn Univ. Wis. 150: 15-20. 1944; and Handb. Seed Health Testing [Ed. Int. Seed Test. Ass.] III, Working Sheets 16. 1964, 42 and 49. 1968. As soil-borne organism P. m. var. pinodella is discussed by Dorenbosch in Persoonia 6: 1-14. 1970 and Domsch & Gams, Pilze Agrarböden 119, 1970.

## PHYSODERMA ALFALFAE (Lagerh. ex Magn.) Karling

Physoderma alfalfae (Lagerh. ex Magn.) Karling in Lloydia 13: 44. 1950 [as '(Pat. & Lagerh.) nov. comb.'].

≡ Urophlyctis alfalfae (Lagerh.) ex Magnus in Ber. dt. bot. Ges. 20: 296. 1902 [as '(v. Lagerheim olim) P. Magnus'].

VΘ = Cladochytrium alfalfae Lagerheim apud Patouillard & Lagerheim in Bull. Herb. Boissier 3: 62. 1895 [as 'Arfarfae', correct spelling given by Lagerheim in Bih. K. svenska VetenskAkad. Handl. 24, Afd. III (4): 7. 1898; nomen nudum, see note].

Note: The oldest name for this causal organism of Crown Wart of lucerne, Cladochytrium alfalfae, has been published by Lagerheim (l.c.) with reference to a not published paper in 'Zeitschr. f. Pflanzenkr., 1895' (see Magnus 1.c., pp. 291–296). The reason for this is, that Lagerheim on closer investigation identified the crown wart organism of lucerne as a Physoderma species, which he thought to be identical with an earlier described pathogen from beet for which he introduced the combination Physoderma leproides (Trabut) Lagerh. (Lagerheim 1898 l.c.: 10). Magnus l.c. recognized the lucerne pathogen as a separate organism, which in his opinion belonged to the genus Urophlyctis. He adopted Lagerheim's epithet alfalfae and published a detailed illustrated description. Karling (l.c.) finally showed that there are no morphological grounds for maintaining Urophlyctis Schroet. (1889) as a separate genus from Physoderma Wallr. (1833). For descriptions, disease symptoms and data on the biology of P. alfalfae see Karling l.c. and Butler & Jones. Pl. Path. 452–456. 1949. See also Sampson & Western, Dis. Br. Grasses herb. Leg. ed. 2, 54–55. 1954; and Leath in Pl. Dis. Reptr 62: 621–623. 1978.

## PLEIOCHAETA SETOSA (Kirchn.) Hughes

Pleiochaeta setosa (Kirchn.) Hughes in Mycol. Pap. 36: 39. 1951.

- ≡ Ceratophorum setosum Kirchner in Z. PflKrankh. 2: 324–327. 1892.
- = Pestalotia lupini Sorauer apud Wagner & Sorauer in Z. PflKrankh. 8: 269. 1898.
  - Mastigosporium lupini (Sorauer) Cavara in Riv. Patol. veg., Padova 14: 13. 1924.

Note: This causal organism of Brown Spot of lupins is also known to be parasitic on other Leguminosae, especially on woody plants (compare check-list 1a in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 78, Suppl. 1: 37. 1972). For the symptoms of the brown spot disease of lupins and the characteristics of the fungus in vivo and in vitro see du Plessis & Truter in Sci. Bull. Dep. Agric. [For.] Un. S. Afr. 347: 1–12. 1953. Descriptions of the fungus can also be found in Ellis, Dematiac. Hyphom. 263. 1971 and Pirozynski in Fungi Can. 12. 1974. The fungus represents the type species of Pleiochaeta (Sacc.) Hughes, but, as noted by Pirozynski l.c., according to Art. 75, the genus name Pleiochaeta is unfortunately illegitimate as a later spelling variant (homonym) of Pleochaeta Sacc. & Speg. (a genus of powdery mildews). Nevertheless we have for the present adopted the binomial Pleiochaeta setosa; the other genera listed not being appropriate.

## PLEOSPORA HERBARUM (Fr. ex Fr.) Rabenh. var. HERBARUM

Pleospora herbarum (Fr. ex Fr.) Rabenhorst in Klotzschii Herb. mycol., ed. 2, Cent. 6, No. 547 [a–c]. 1857; in Bot. Ztg 15: 428. 1857 [ref. Schlechtendal], var. herbarum [varietal epithet to be cited without an author's name, Art. 26; name automatically established (autonym) by the publication in 1961 of P. herbarum var. occidentalis Wehm., see note].

rn ≡ Sphaeria herbarum Fries ex Fries, Syst. mycol. 2[Sect. 2]: 511. 1823

[not S. herbarum Persoon, Syn. meth. Fung. 78. 1801 ex Hooker, Fl. scot. 2: 7. 1821, which species was deliberately not accepted by Fries]

dn = Sphaeria herbarum Fries in K. [svenska] VetenskAkad. [nya] Handl. 39: 109. 1818.

stat. con. STEMPHYLIUM BOTRYOSUM Wallr.

Stemphylium botryosum Wallroth; Fl. crypt. Germ. 2: 300. 1833.

Note: This plurivorous fungus is recorded as parasitic on various leguminous plants (Ring Spot, lesions on leaves, petioles, stems, peduncles, flowers and pods), see e.g. Sampson & Western, Dis. Br. Grasses herb. Leg. ed. 2, 76-77. 1954; Booth & Pirozynski in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 150. 1967; and Borges, Stanford & Webster in Phytopathology 66: 749–753. 1975. The fungus is seed-borne; especially seeds of beans are often infected (Dutch: 'Roodneuzen', purple spots surrounded by a yellowish margin), see Doyer, Man. Det. Seed-borne Dis. 16. 1938 [under 'Macrosporium commune Rabenh.', a rejected ambiguous name, cf. Groves & Skolko in Can. J. Res., Sect. C, 22: 195. 1944]. Pleospora herbarum is the type species of the genus Pleospora Rabenh. ex Ces. & de Not. (nom. cons. and typ. cons., see Code, Appendix III); its conidial state represents the type species of the formgenus Stemphylium Wallr. For differentiation against Stemphylium sarciniforme (Cav.) Wiltsh. (q.v.; common causal organism of Ring Spot of red clover) and other Pleospora species with Stemphylium-states see Groves & Skolko l.c.: 190-199 and Simmons in Mycologia 61: 1–26. 1969. See also Ellis, Dematiac. Hyphom. 165–168. 1971. The nomenclature and taxonomy of P. herbarum is discussed by Wehmeyer, Monograph *Pleospora* 38–39, 147–167. 1961 and Donk in Taxon 11: 120–122. 1962. On account of herbarium specimens, Wehmeyer l.c. distinguished *P. herbarum* var. occidentalis Wehm, as a separate 'mountainous form' and synonymized at least sixty Pleospora names with the typical 'lowland form' P. herbarum var. herbarum. However, additional comparative cultural studies are required in order to interpret all these names, compare Simmons l.c. The nomenclature and synonymy of S. botryosum is discussed by Wiltshire in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 21: 211-239, 1938. For a good description of both states and references to phytopathological literature see Booth & Pirozynski l.c. As a contaminant of seeds the fungus is treated by Malone & Muskett in Proc. int. seed Test. Ass. 29 (2) [= Handb. Seed Health Test. Ser. 4, Fasc. 1]: 322–323. 1964. Detailed data on the morphology of the conidial state and the cultural characteristics of the fungus are given by Neergaard, Dan. Alternaria and Stemphylium 361–379. 1945.

## PSEUDOPEZIZA TRIFOLII (Biv.-Bern. ex Pers.) Fuckel

Pseudopeziza trifolii (Biv.-Bern. ex Pers.) Fuckel in Jb. nassau. Ver. Naturk. 23–24 [= Symb. mycol.]: 290. 1870 ["1869 und 1870"].

rn ≡ Ascobolus trifolii Biv.-Bern. ex Persoon, Mycol. eur. 1: 342. 1822. : Fries, Syst. mycol. 2 [Sect. 1]: 165. 1822.

 $dn \equiv Ascobolus trifolii$  Bivona-Bernardi, Stirp. rar. Sic. 4: 27. 1816.

= Pseudopeziza medicaginis (Lib.) Saccardo in Malpighia 1: 455. 1887. ≡ Phacidium medicaginis Libert in Pl. cryptog. Ard., Fasc. 4,

No. 374. 1837.

Note: The causal organism of Leaf Spot (Am.: Common Leaf Spot) of herbage

Neth. J. Pl. Path. 85 (1979)

legumes. The spots also occur on the petioles and stems but not in great numbers. Originally this disease was ascribed to three different species of *Pseudopeziza*, viz. *P. trifolii*, *P. medicaginis* and *P. meliloti* Syd. However, Schüepp *in* Phytopath. Z. 36: 220–231. 1959 showed that they do not differ essentially in morphology and cultural characters, but only in host relation. He distinguished five formae speciales which are listed below. It should be noted that Schmiedeknecht *in* Biol. Zentbl. 83: 695–715. 1964 proposed to maintain the differentiation in three species, mainly on account of speculation on the phylogeny and systematic position of hosts and pathogens. *P. trifolii*, which represents the type species of the genus *Pseudopeziza* Fuckel, does not produce an imperfect state (see note under f. sp. *medicaginissativae*). The correct author citation of *P. trifolii* has been indicated by van Brummelen *in* Persoonia Suppl. 1: 241. 1967.

# f. sp. MEDICAGINIS-LUPULINAE [(Schmiedeknecht) Schüepp]

Pseudopeziza trifolii f. sp. medicaginis-lupulinae (Schmiedeknecht) Schüepp in Phytopath. Z. 36: 228. 1959.

≡ Pseudopeziza medicaginis f. sp. medicaginis-lupulinae Schmiedeknecht in Phytopath. Z. 32: 446. 1958.

Note: This form on black medick or yellow trefoil can also attack some other species of *Medicago*, but the lucernes are resistant to this form. See Schmiedeknecht 1958 l.c.

## f. sp. MEDICAGINIS-SATIVAE [(Schmiedeknecht) Schüepp]

Pseudopeziza trifolii f. sp. medicaginis-sativae (Schmiedeknecht) Schüepp in Phypath. Z. 36: 228. 1959.

≡ Pseudopeziza medicaginis f. sp. medicaginis-sativae Schmiedeknecht in Phytopath. Z. 32: 446–447. 1958.

Note: Occurs commonly on both cultivated subspecies of lucerne and can also attack some other species of *Medicago*, but not black medick (yellow trefoil), see Schmiedeknecht 1958 l.c. The infection of lucerne may result in serious leaf fall. For the sporulation of f. sp. *medicaginissativae* see Schmiedeknecht *in* Phytopath. Z. 48: 312–321. 1963 and 51: 29–40. 1964. A pycnidial state, *Sporonema phacidioides* Desm., which was formerly believed to belong to this fungus, represents the imperfect state of *Leptotrochila medicaginis* (Fuckel) Schüepp (l.c.: 253–254), a fungus which causes Yellow Leaf Blotch of lucerne (not yet recorded in the Netherlands).

# f. sp. MELILOTI [(Syd.) Schüepp]

Pseudopeziza trifolii f. sp. meliloti (Syd.) Schüepp in Phytopath. Z. **36**: 229. 1959.

≡ Pseudopeziza meliloti Syd. in Annls mycol. **34**: 396. 1936.

Note: White sweet clover (white melilot) represents one of the *Melilotus* spp. susceptible to this form. Various species of *Trigonella* spp. can also be infected. See the studies by Schüepp l.c. and Schmiedeknecht *in* Biol. Zentbl. **83**: 701–703. 1964.

## f. sp. TRIFOLII-PRATENSIS [Schüepp]

Pseudopeziza trifolii f. sp. trifolii-pratensis Schüepp in Phytopath. Z. **36**: 229–230, 1959.

Note: Common on red clover, but berseem or Egyptian clover and

crimson clover, as well as various wild clovers can also be infected. See Schüepp l.c.: 220–222 and Schmiedeknecht *in* Biol. Zentbl. 83: 703–704. 1964. White clover and other cultivated clovers are not susceptible to this form.

# f. sp. TRIFOLII-REPENTIS [Schüepp]

*Pseudopeziza trifolii* f. sp. *trifolii-repentis* Schüepp *in* Phytopath. Z. **36**: 230–231. 1959.

Note: The principal host of this form is white clover, but alsike clover or Swedish clover and Persian clover, and various wild clovers are also susceptible. See Schüepp l.c.: 220–222 and Schmiedeknecht *in* Biol. Zentbl. 83: 703–704. 1964. Red clover and other cultivated clovers are not infected by f. sp. *trifolii-repentis*. The type of *Pseudopeziza trifolii* probably refers to this special form; the nomenclature of formae speciales, however, is not governed by the provisions of the botanical rules (Art. 4), therefore change of f. sp. *trifolii-repentis* into 'f. sp. *trifolii*' is not necessary (and not desirable).

#### PYTHIUM ULTIMUM Trow var. ULTIMUM

Pythium ultimum Trow in Ann. Bot. 15: 300–301. 1901 [quoted in Mycol. Pap. 110: 68. 1968], var. ultimum [varietal name to be cited without author's name, Art. 26; name automatically established (autonym) by the publication in 1960 of P. ultimum var. sporangiiferum Drechsl.].

Note: *P. ultimum* is a major root pathogen affecting a large number of plant species; compare the discussions of this fungus in Series 2a and 2b of the check-list [in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82: 208–209. 1976 and 83: 190–191. 1977]. It is recorded as one of the main causes of Root Rot and Damping-off in pulse and herbage legumes; see Hendrix & Campbell in A. Rev. Phytopath. 11: 88. 1973. Literature on *P. ultimum* to 1967 are summarized by Domsch & Gams, Pilze Agrarböden 127–128. 1970. Soil water and temperature greatly influence the incidence and severity of *Pythium* root rot, see e.g. Pieczarka & Abawi in Phytopathology 68: 766–772. 1978. For other species of *Pythium* frequently isolated from roots of beans and peas see e.g. the literature references by van der Plaats-Niterink in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 81: 24–25. 1975, and Pieczarka and Abawi in Phytopathology 68: 409–416. 1978. For differentiating characters see the key to *Pythium* published by Waterhouse in Mycol. Pap. 109: 1–15. 1967.

#### SCLEROTINIA SCLEROTIORUM (Lib.) de Bary

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary, Vergl. Morph. Pilze [= ed. 2 Morph. Physiol. Pilze, etc.] 56, 236. 1884.

- ≅ *Peziza sclerotiorum* Libert *in* Pl. cryptog. Ard., Fasc. 4, No. 326. 1837.
- Whetzelinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) Korf & Dumont in Mycologia 64: 250. 1972.

# stat. myc. SCLEROTIUM VARIUM Pers. ex S. F. Gray

rn Sclerotium varium Pers. ex S. F. Gray, Nat. Arr. Br. Pl. 1: 591. 1821.

: Fries, Syst. mycol. 2 [Sect. 1]: 257. 1822.

 $dn \equiv Sclerotium varium Persoon, Syn. meth. Fung. 122, 1801.$ 

Note: This causal organism of the Sclerotinia Disease or Sclerotinia Rot (Am.: Sclerotinia Wilt) attacks a wide range of plants, including leguminous plants such as dwarf beans (Am.: White Mold Disease) and peas. Clovers and other herbage legumes, however, are mostly attacked by the related species S. trifoliorum Erikss. (q.v.). Purdy in Phytopathology 45: 421–427. 1955 has proposed a broad concept of S. sclerotiorum which includes S. trifoliorum, but this has not yet been generally accepted (see note under S. trifoliorum). More recently a separate genus was based on S. sclerotiorum; Whetzelinia Korf & Dumont l.c., but as noted in check-list 2a [in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82: 209. 1976] we support the proposal made by Buchwald & Neergaard in Friesia 10: 96-99. 1973 to conserve the genus name Sclerotinia for the important pathogens as S. sclerotiorum and S. trifoliorum, which has preliminarily been accepted. For descriptions, other synonyms, hosts and other data of S. sclerotiorum see Buchwald in Friesia 3: 319–327. 1947; Dennis in Mycol. Pap. 62: 146–147. 1956; and Mordue & Holliday in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 513. 1976. Factors affecting apothecium and ascospore production of S. sclerotiorum are discussed by e.g. Krüger in Z. PflKrankh. [PflPath.] PflSchutz 82: 101-108. 1975 and Schwartz & Steadman in Phytopathology 68: 383-388. 1978. The fungus also usually produces a microconidial (spermatial) state (Myrioconium sp.), see Mordue & Holliday l.c.

#### SCLEROTINIA TRIFOLIORUM Erikss, var. TRIFOLIORUM

Sclerotinia trifoliorum Eriksson in K. LantbrAkad. Handl. Tidskr. 19: 41 (28–42). 1880, var. trifoliorum [varietal name to be cited without an author's name, Art. 26; name automatically established (autonym) by the publication in 1939 of the varietal name S. trifoliorum var. fabae Keay, see note].

Note: Parasitic on leguminous plants, and especially known as the cause of Rot (Am.: Sclerotinia Wilt, Root Rot) of clovers, lucernes and black medick or yellow trefoil. On field (broad) beans a separate variety with somewhat larger ascospores is recognized, S. trifoliorum var. fabae Keay [in Ann. appl. Biol. 26: 228 (227-246). 1939; confirmed by Loveless in Ann. appl. Biol. 38: 252-275. 1951, but this pathogen is not known in the Netherlands. Morphologically the apothecia of both varieties of S. trifoliorum show much resemblance with those of S. sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary (q.v.), but the sclerotia are smaller than in the latter and their development is usually slower. Furthermore, both species show diverse seasonal appearance in nature; the sclerotia of S. trifoliorum sensu lato germinate with apothecia chiefly in the autumn, those of S. sclerotiorum in spring or summer. In recent American literature, S. trifoliorum var, trifoliorum is often indicated as merely S. sclerotiorum according to the broader concept of S. sclerotiorum proposed by Purdy in Phytopathology 45: 421-427. 1955. However, we agree with Korf & Dumont in Mycologia 64: 248-251. 1972 that additional microanatomical studies are necessary before making a decision on the taxonomic status of both varieties of S. trifoliorum. The specific distinction between S. trifoliorum and S. sclerotiorum is supported by the electrophoretic, and mycelial-interaction studies of Wong & Willetts in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 61: 167-178. 1973; in J. gen. Microbiol. 88: 339-344. 1975 and 90: 355-359. 1975. For the characteristics and biology of S. t. var. trifoliorum and the disease symptoms on clovers see Butler & Jones, Pl. Path. 461-466. 1949 and Sampson & Western, Dis. Br. Grasses herb. Leg. ed. 2, 55-60. 1954. Both these papers make reference to literature of *S. t.* var. *trifoliorum* on other hosts. Descriptions and hosts are also given by Buchwald *in* Friesia 3: 313–318. 1947 and Dennis *in* Mycol. Pap. **62**: 148. 1956. The fungus usually produces a microconidial (spermatial) state (*Myrioconium* sp.), see Butler & Jones l.c.

## STAGONOSPOROPSIS HORTENSIS (Sacc. & Malbr.) Petr.

Stagonosporopsis hortensis (Sacc. & Malbr.) Petrak in Annls mycol. 19: 21. 1921.

- ≡ Hendersonia hortensis Saccardo & Malbranche in Michelia 2(3): 629. 1882 [as 'Hendersonia (Stagonospora) hortensis'].
- ≡ Stagonospora hortensis (Sacc. & Malbr.) Saccardo & Malbranche in Sylloge Fung. 3: 446. 1884.
- H ≡ Ascochyta hortensis (Sacc. & Malbr.) Jörstad [Jørstad] in Meld. St. plpatol. Inst. 1: 74. 1945 [not. A. hortensis Kabát & Bubák in Hedwigia 44: 353. 1905].
  - Ascochyta boltshauseri Saccardo apud Boltshauser in Z. PflKrankh.
     1: 136. 1891; in Sylloge Fung. 10: 303. 1892.
    - ≡ Stagonosporopsis boltshauseri (Sacc.) Diedicke in Annls mycol. 10: 141–142. 1912; in Krypt.-Fl. Mark Brandenb.
       9. Pilze 7: 400. 1915.
    - ≡ Stagonospora boltshauseri (Sacc.) Grigoriu in Annls Inst. phytopath. Benaki II, 11: 113. 1975.

Note: One of the two fungi causing Blotch or Leaf Spot of dwarf beans, commonly known in phytopathological literature as Ascochyta boltshauseri. The oldest name of this pathogen proved to be Hendersonia hortensis (cf. holotype in herb. Saccardo, PAD). In vivo this pathogen can be distinguished from the plurivorous Phoma exigua Desm. var. exigua (q.v.; syn. Ascochyta phaseolorum Sacc.), which causes similar blotch symptoms on dwarf beans, by its usually much larger conidia (up to 34 μm; in P. e. var. exigua not larger than 10-12 μm). On infected pods, leaves and stems, one-septate (Ascochyta-like) conidia of the fungus often predominate, but many non-septate (smaller; Phoma-like) and various two- or multiseptate (Stagonospora-like) conidia may also occur. In vitro the conidia of the fungus are mostly non-septate and *Phoma*-like, resembling those of *P. e.* var. exigua; but usually a few much larger septate conidia can also be found. In contrast with P. e. var. exigua the fungus does not produce antibiotic 'E'. It is certainly not a true Ascochyta, nor a true Stagonospora, because the typical species of both these formgenera produce septate conidia in vivo as well as in vitro [distoseptation, see discussion under Ascochyta pisi Lib., and Boerema & Bollen in Persoonia 8: 111-144. 1975; for true Stagonospora spp. see Cunnell in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 39: 21-47. 1956 and 40: 443-455. 1957]. The fungus belongs to a group of species which are related to Phoma and at present are best classified under Stagonosporopsis Died. For disease symptoms [in comparison with those caused by P. e. var. exigua] see Sneep in Tijdschr. PlZiekt. 51: 1-16. 1945 and Hubbeling in Tuinbouwvoorlichting 3: 39-41. 1955 (with colour plates). Descriptons of Stagonosporopsis hortensis are given by Sprague in Phytopathology 25: 416-420. 1935; Petrak in Annls mycol. 41: 190–195. 1943; and Grigoriu in Annls Inst. phytopath. Benaki II, 11: 109-126, 1975.

#### STEMPHYLIUM SARCINIFORME (Cav.) Wiltsh.

- V Stemphylium sarciniforme (Cav.) Wiltshire in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 21: 224–228. 1938 [as 'sarcinaeforme'].
  - $V \equiv Macrosporium sarciniforme$  Cavara in Dif. parass. **1890** (4): 1–8. 1890 [as 'sarcinaeforme'].

Note: Known as the causal organism of Ring Spot of red clover (lesions on leaves and stems), see Sampson & Western, Dis. Br. Grasses herb. Leg. ed. 2, 74–76. 1954. The fungus is seed-borne. Other clovers and herbage legumes can also be attacked by S. sarciniforme (cf. Graham & Zeiders in Phytopathology 50: 757–760. 1960), but most records of ring spot on leguminous plants refer to Pleospora herbarum (Fr. ex Fr.) Rabenh. var. herbarum, stat. con. Stemphylium botryosum Wallr. (q.v.). For the distinction between these two fungi see Groves & Skolko in Can. J. Res., Sect. C, 22: 195–196. 1944; Neergaard, Dan. Alternaria and Stemphylium 372, 382. 1945; and Ellis, Dematiac. Hyphom. 165–167. 1971.

## THANATEPHORUS CUCUMERIS (Frank) Donk

Thanatephorus cucumeris (Frank) Donk in Reinwardtia 3: 376. 1956.

- = Hypochnus cucumeris Frank in Ber. dt. bot. Ges. 1: 62. 1883.
- = Corticium solani (Prill. & Delacr.) Bourdot & Galzin in Bull. trimest. Soc. mycol. Fr. 27: 248. 1911.
  - ≡ Hypochnus solani Prillieux & Delacroix in Bull. Soc. mycol.
    Fr. 7: 220.1891.

stat. myc. RHIZOCTONIA SOLANI Kühn

Rhizoctonia solani Kühn, Krankh. Kulturgew. 224. 1858.

= Moniliopsis aderholdii Ruhland in Arb. [K.] biol. Anst. Land.-u. Forstw. 6 (1): 76. 1908.

Note: This ubiquitous soil-borne fungus is frequently reported in association with Root Rot and Damping-off of leguminous plants as dwarf beans, peas and lupins. Its nomenclature has already been discussed in Series 1a of the check-list [in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 78, Suppl. 1: 49–50. 1972]. As causal organism of Black Scurf and Stem Canker of potato and Black Leg and Root Rot of beet, this fungus is also listed in check-list 2a [in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82: 210–211. 1976]. Literature up to 1965 is summarized by Parmeter, Biol. Pathol. Rhizoctonia solani [Proc. Symposium] 1970 [255 pp.]. For descriptions of both states and data on hosts, disease symptoms, pathogenicity and biology see Mordue in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 406. 1974. The induction of the perfect state in vitro is discussed by Tu & Kimbrough in Phytopathology 65: 730–731. 1975.

UROMYCES APPENDICULATUS (Pers. ex Pers.) Ung. var. APPENDICULATUS
 V Uromyces appendiculatus (Pers. ex Pers.) Unger, Einfl. Bodens 216. 1836, var. appendiculatus [as 'U. appendiculata', misapplied but validly published (Art. 55); varietal name to be cited without an author's name (Art. 26); see note].

rn = Uredo appendiculata Pers. ex Persoon, Syn. meth. Fung. 221–222. 1801, subsp. appendiculata [as 'α Uredo Phaseoli'; see note].

dn = Uredo appendiculata Persoon in Annln Bot. [Ed. Usteri] 15: 17. 1795 [= Obs. mycol. 1: 17. 1796], subsp. appendiculata [as 'α Uredo Phaseoli'; see note].

- ≡ Hypodermium appendiculatus (Pers. ex Pers.) Link in Ges. naturf. Freunde Berl. Mag. 7: 28. 1815 [as 'Hypodermium (Uromyces)'].
- $H \equiv Uromyces \ appendiculatus$  (Pers. ex Pers.) Fries, Summ. Veg. Scand. [2] 514. 1849.
- H = Uromyces appendiculatus (Pers. ex Pers.) Link ex Tulasne in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) IV, 2: 185, 192. 1854 [misapplied; but validly published (Art. 55); in the text of Tulasne's paper the combination is also referred to Léveillé (in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) IV, 8: 369–376. 1847; Orbigny, Dict. Hist. nat. 12: 785–786. 1848), who, however, has not made a new combination in the way approved by the International Code, see Laundon in Taxon 16: 194–195. 1967].
  - ≡ Puccinia phaseoli (Pers. ex Pers.) Rebentisch, Prod. Fl. neom. 375.
    1804.
  - ≡ Uromyces phaseoli (Pers. ex Pers.) Winter in Hedwigia 19: 37. 1880, var. phaseoli [in older American literature usually cited as 'var. typica Arth.', an inadmissible infraspecific epithet in 1934 introduced in Arthur's Manual of Rust, to indicate that it includes the type of the species (see Art. 24, Art. 26)].
  - = Uromyces phaseolorum Tulasne in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) IV, 2: 88. 1854 [with reference to Uredo appendiculata α Phaseoli Pers.; epithet derived from the uredinial name Uredo phaseolorum de Candolle in de Candolle & de Lamarck, Fl. fr. (ed. 3) 5 (6): 63. 1815], apud de Bary in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) IV, 20: 80. 1863.

Note: This common Rust of dwarf beans (uredinia and telia; rarely spermogonia and aecidia) was formerly generally known as Uromyces phaseoli, a name based on the infraspecific taxon (subspecies) Uredo appendiculata a phaseoli Pers., which, however, includes the type of Uredo appendiculata Pers. [Hylander, Jörstad (Jørstad) & Nannfeldt in Op. bot. Soc. bot. Lund 1(1): 86. 1953; the other subspecies distinguished by Persoon (1801 l.c.) refer to Uromyces pisi (q.v.) and Uromyces laburni (DC.) Otth f. sp. genistae-tinctoriae (see check-list 1a in Neth. J. Pl. Path. 78, Suppl. 1: 51-52. 1972)]. In this case, therefore, subsp. phaseoli must be changed to subsp. appendiculata (Art. 26), and the correct specific binomial of the bean rust is Uromyces appendiculatus. In older literature this combination has been ascribed to different authors ('Lév.', 'Link', 'Fr.'), but Unger (l.c.) appears to be the first who validly published the combination *Uromyces appendiculatus* [although misapplied to the rust on field beans, see Jörstad (Jørstad) in Nytt Mag. Bot. 6: 136. 1958 and Art. 55]. The rust is not restricted to *Phaseolus* spp., but also recorded on other leguminous plants, as *Dolichos* and *Vigna* spp., see Guyot in Encycl. mycol. 29 [Urédinées 3 - Uromyces]: 481-505. 1957 [under U. phaseoli]. A rust on Ramarizella strobiliformis, recorded in Mexico, was thought at one time to be identical with the bean rust, but is now recognized as a separate variety: U. appendiculatus var. punctiformis (P. Syd.) Cummins in Mycotaxon 5: 407. 1977. The publication of this variety has automatically established the varietal name U. appendiculatus var. appendiculatus (autonym). For descriptions, other synonyms and biology see Guyot 1.c., Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 12: 340–341. 1959 [under U. phaseoli], Wilson & Henderson, Br. Rust Fungi 321-322. 1966 and Laundon & Waterston in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 57. 1965.

## UROMYCES FABAE (Grev.) de Bary ex Fuckel

Uromyces fabae (Grev.) de Bary ex Fuckel in Jb. nassau. Ver. Naturk. 23–24 [= Symb. mycol.]: 62. 1870 ["1869 und 1870"] [referring to de Bary in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) IV, 20: 5–148. 1863, who however, used the combination Uromyces fabae only incidentally (Art. 34) as a synonym of the misapplied name Uromyces appendiculatus (Pers. ex Pers.) Unger (q.v.). Without doubt, de Bary's Uromyces fabae is derived from Puccinia fabae Grev. (see below), mentioned by Tulasne in Annls Sci. nat. (Bot.) IV, 2: 89, 90. 1854 as synonymous with U. appendiculatus; see also Cummins & Stevenson in Pl. Dis. Reptr, Suppl. 237: 186. 1956].

- ≡ Puccinia fabae Greville, Scott. crypt. Fl. 1: 29. 1822.
- = Puccinia globosa Greville, Fl. edin. 434. 1824 [name change].
- = Uromyces viciae-fabae P. Karsten in Bidr. Känn. Finl. Nat. Folk 31 [= Mycol. Fen. 4]: 13. 1879 [with description of telia 'in Fennia australi frequenter obveniunt'; as '(Pers.) Schroet.' (see below), stating that Uredo viciae-fabae Persoon, Syn. meth. Fung. 221. 1801 refers to the telial state; Persoon, however, had described the uredo only, see note].
- O = Uromyces viciae-fabae Otth in Mitt. naturf. Ges. Bern 1863: 86. 1863 [telia not described, nom. nud.; as comb. nov. of the uredinial name Uredo viciae-fabae from Persoon (see above and the note) as adopted by the Candolle in de Candolle & de Lamarck, Fl. fr. (ed. 3) 5 (6): 69. 1815].
- O = Uromyces viciae-fabae Schroeter in Hedwigia 14: 161. 1875 [telia not described, nom. nud.; as comb. nov. of the uredinial name Uredo viciae-fabae Pers. (see above and the note)].
- H = Uromyces viciae-fabae Jörstad [Jørstad] in Skr. norske Vidensk-Akad. [Mat.-naturw. Kl.] 9 [1933]: 144. 1934 [with reference to the description of the telial state as given by de Bary l.c.; as comb. nov. of the uredinial name Uredo viciae-fabae Pers. (see above and the note)].

Note: In recent literature this common Rust of field (broad) beans and vetches (uredinia and telia; spermogonia and aecidia common on some vetches, rare on field beans), is usually referred to as Uromyces viciae-fabae. The epithet of this name is derived from Persoon's *Uredo viciae-fabae* listed in the starting-point book (Syn. meth. Fung.). Jörstad [Jørstad], in Blumea 9: 10, 1958, found some telia in a collection of Uredo viciae-fabae in Persoon's herbarium, which he selected as lectotype. However, Persoon had described the uredo only and not the telia; therefore Uredo viciae-fabae Pers. cannot be the basionym of the perfect state [paragraph 3 of the present Art. 59 (Leningrad Code); compare Deighton in Taxon 9: 238-239 (231-241). 1960]. Description of the telia under the name Uromyces viciaefabae was first given by P. Karsten (l.c.) in 1879. This means that the binomial Uromyces fabae, based on Puccinia fabae Greville (1822 l.c.), has priority. U. fabae is not restricted to Vicia spp., but has also been recorded on many other leguminous plants. Inoculation experiments have shown that the rust includes different specialized pathogenic forms (with overlapping host ranges) and many races. For a detailed account of host records and specialization see Guyot in Encycl. mycol. 29 [Urédinées 3 – Uromyces]: 505–554. 1957. See also Gäumann *in* Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz **12**: 275–277. 1959, Wilson & Henderson, Br. Rust Fungi 323–326. 1966 [under *U. viciae-fabae*] and Laundon & Waterston *in* C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 60. 1965 [under *U. viciae-fabae*]. Full synonymy can be found in Guyot l.c.

## UROMYCES PISI (DC.) Otth [sensu stricto]

Uromyces pisi (DC.) Otth in Mitt. naturf. Ges. Bern 1863: 87. 1863.

= Puccinia pisi de Candolle in de Candolle & de Lamarck, Fl. fr. [ed. 3] 2: 224. 1805.

Hrn = Uromyces pisi (Pers.) ex Winter in Rabenh. Krypt.-Fl. [ed. 2], Pilze 1 [Lief. 3]: 163. 1882 [vol. dated "1884"].

dn ≡ Uredo appendiculata subsp. pisi Persoon in Annln Bot. [Ed. Usteri] 15: 17. 1795 [= Obs. mycol. 1: 17. 1796; as 'β U. Pisi'; concerns the telial state].

np = Uromyces pisi-sativi (Pers.) Liro in Bidr. Känn. Finl. Nat. Folk 65: 100. 1908.

≡ *Uredo appendiculata* subsp. *pisi-sativi* Persoon, Syn. meth. Fung. 222. 1801 [as 'β *Uredo Pisi-sativi*'; concerns the telial state].

Note: Refers to the Rust of field and garden peas (uredinia and telia), which alternates with Euphorbia species, especially E. cyparissias L. (spermogonia and aecidia). However, the rust is independent of host alternation; it generally overwinters by means of the uredospores. Hylander, Jörstad [Jørstad] & Nannfeld in Op. bot. Soc. bot. Lund 1 (1): 93-95. 1953 use the name Uromyces pisi as a collective name for the various leguminous rusts which have proved to produce aecidia on Euphorbia cyparissias and allies. Wilson & Henderson, Br. Rust Fungi 330-334. 1966 follow this line. However, we do not believe that this is quite correct, because the leguminous rusts in question can be distinguished by the sculpture of the teleutospores and by the number of pores as well as the dimension of the uredospores, see Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 12: 356-358. 1959. U. pisi sensu stricto is also recorded on Lathyrus, Orobus and Vicia spp., see Guyot in Encycl. mycol. 29 [Urédinées 3 - Uromyces]: 213-237. 1957. The data on specialization are still confusing; apparently different races as well as host-related specialized forms occur, see Guyot l.c. and Gäumann l.c. For descriptions and the biology of U. pisi sensu stricto see Guyot l.c., Gäumann l.c., and Laundon & Waterston in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 58. 1965, who discussed this rust under the synonym Uromyces pisi-sativi [the subspecific epithet pisi-sativi, although used by Persoon in the starting-point book (Syn. meth. Fung.) has no priority in the specific rank, Art. 60].

#### UROMYCES STRIATUS Schroet.

Uromyces striatus Schroeter in Abh. schles. Ges. vaterl. Cult., Abth. Naturw. u. Med. 1869–72: 11. 1870.

Note: This Rust of black medick or yellow trefoil and lucerne (uredinia and telia) alternates with *Euphorbia* spp., especially *E. cyparissias* L. (spermogonia and aecidia). Many other species of *Medicago* and some yellow-flowered species of *Trifolium* are also susceptible. The common cultivated clovers are resistant. Overwintering may take place by means of uredospores, which makes the rust independent of host al-

178 Neth. J. Pl. Path, 85 (1979)

ternation. For a detailed account on the host records of this rust see Guyot in Encycl. mycol. 29 [Urédinées 3 – Uromyces]: 429–456. 1957. Hylander, Jörstad [Jφrstad] & Nannfeldt in Op. bot. Soc. bot. Lund. 1 (1): 93–95. 1953 and Wilson & Henderson, Br. Rust Fungi 330–337. 1966 consider this rust as a race of U. pisi (DC.) Otth, which produces similar spermogonia and aecidia on Euphorbia spp. Parmelee in Can. J. Bot. 40: 491–510. 1962, however, showed that U. striatus can always be distinguished by the smaller number and equatorial position of the pores in its uredospores and the striate wall sculpturing of its teleutospores in contrast to the punctate teleutospores of U. pisi. For descriptions and reviews of the numerous literature data on U. striatus see Guyot 1.c., Parmelee 1.c., and Laundon & Waterston in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 59. 1965. According to Viennot-Bourgin in Revue Mycol. 42: 322–339. 1978, a different rust, Uromyces magnusii Kleb., occurs on lucerne in the mediterranean regions.

# UROMYCES TRIFOLII (Hedw. f. ex DC.) Fuckel

Uromyces trifolii (Hedw. f. ex DC.) Fuckel in Jb. nassau. Ver. Naturk. 23–24 [= Symb. mycol.]: 63. 1870 ["1869 und 1870"].

- Puccinia trifolii R. A. Hedwig ex de Candolle in de Candolle & de Lamarck, Fl. fr. [ed. 3] 2: 225. 1805 [lectotype on Trifolium repens, see Walker in Mycotaxon 7: 423–435. 1978].
- = Uromyces flectens Lagerheim in Svensk bot. Tidskr. 3: 36. 1909.

Note: We follow Walker l.c., accepting *U. trifolii* as the most appropriate name for the microcyclic Rust of cultivated and wild white clover (only telia). The name U. trifolii has also been used for the macrocyclic rust of white clover, U. trifolii-repentis Liro ex Liro var. trifolii-repentis (q.v.), and in particular for the macrocyclic rust of red clover, U. trifolii-repentis var. fallens (Arth.) Cummins (q.v.). Jörstad in Nytt Mag. Bot. 14: 19-30. 1967 and Laundon in Mycotaxon 3: 133-161. 1975 have proposed to reject the name U. trifolii under Art. 69 and Art. 70. Walker l.c., however, showed that all the available de Candolle material of Puccinia trifolii (≡ Uromyces trifolii) refers to the microcyclic rust of white clover; the selected lectotype is labelled 'Puccinia trifolii in Trifolio repente' and corresponds with the original description. Therefore we have adopted Walker's conclusion that there is no reason to reject the name U. trifolii. Other names most commonly used for this microcyclic telial rust are U. flectens described by Lagerheim l.c. and U. nerviphilus (Grogn.) Hotson [in Publs Puget Sound mar. biol. Stn 4: 368. 1925; basionym Puccinia nerviphila Grognot, Pl. crypt. Saône-et-Loire 154, 1863]. These names have also been used to varying degrees in different senses. Walker l.c. found the authentic material of U. flectens to be identical with U. trifolii. Laundon l.c. adopted the name U. nerviphilus for the microcyclic telial rust of white clover, but this name is rejected by Walker l.c. in the absence of its type specimen and because essential details of fungal morphology and host identity were not given in the original description. The teleutospores of the microcyclic U. trifolii and the two macrocyclic varieties of U. trifoliirepentis are morphologically very similar, but those of *U. trifolii* are usually somewhat more verrucose. The telia of both species are usually easily distinguished, because those of U. trifolii-repentis are small and scattered on the leaves, whereas those of U. trifolii form large aggregates chiefly along nerves and on petioles and stems. U. trifolii can be characterized as a perennial rust: the mycelium hibernates in the rhizome and buds, see Kobel in Centbl. Bakt. ParasitKde Abt. II, **52** (9/12): 221–227. 1920 (under *U. flectens*). Inoculation experiments by Kobel l.c. have shown that *U. trifolii* from white clover is also able to attack other species of *Trifolium*; see also Guyot in Encycl. mycol. **29** [Urédinées 3 – *Uromyces*]: 330–339. 1957 and Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz **12**: 330, 351. 1959 (both under *U. flectens*). For descriptions of the telia and teleutospores of *U. trifolii* see Guyot l.c., Gäumann l.c., Wilson & Henderson, Br. Rust Fungi 328. 1966 (under *U. nerviphilus*) and Walker l.c.

#### UROMYCES TRIFOLII-REPENTIS Liro ex Liro var. TRIFOLII-REPENTIS

Uromyces trifolii-repentis Liro ex Liro in Bidr. Känn. Finl. Nat. Folk 65: 94. 1908, var. trifolii-repentis [telial state described as comb. nov. of the aecidial name Aecidium trifolii-repentis Castagne, Obs. Pl. Acotyl. Ured. 1: 33. 1842, comp. Art. 59; the combination was already made by Liro in Acta Soc. Fauna Flora fenn. 29 (6): 15. 1906 but without a description of the telial state [0]; the varietal name is automatically established (autonym) by the publication in 1977 of U. trifolii-repentis var. fallens (Arth.) Cummins, and must be cited without an author's name, Art. 26].

- ≡ Uromyces trifolii var. trifolii-repentis (Liro ex Liro) Arthur, Man. Rusts 304. 1934.
- = Uromyces trifolii-hybridi H. Paul, Krypt. Forsch. 2: 50. 1917.

Note: This macrocyclic autoecious Rust was originally described from white clover (spermogonia, aecidia, uredinia, telia), but at present the name U. trifolii-repentis is also applied to morphologically similar rusts on other clovers, see e.g. the hosts listed by Guyot in Encycl. mycol. 29 [Urédinées 3 – Uromyces]: 315–330. 1957. It can be differentiated from U. trifolii-repentis var. fallens (Arth.) Cummins (q.v.), main host red clover, by the number and location of the pores in the uredospores, see Laundon in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 61: 83-87. 1973 (mean number of pores less than 4, percentage spores with only equatorial pores greater than 60%). The biology of the host-forms of U. t.-r. var. trifolii-repentis on white clover and alsike clover or Swedish clover (syn. U. trifolii-hybridi) was studied by Kobel in Centbl. Bakt. ParasitKde Abt. II, 52 (9/12): 218-221. 1920. These host-forms can be regarded as specialized, biologically different, pathogenic forms (separate formae speciales). The form on white clover may be confused with the perennial rust of white clover, Uromyces trifolii (Hedw. f. ex DC.) Fuckel (q.v.), which, however, only produces telia [microcyclic; syn. Uromyces flectens Lagerh.]. For descriptions of U. t.-r. var. trifolii-repentis see Guyot l.c., Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 12: 347–349. 1959 [under the names U. trifolii-hybridi and U. trifolii-repentis] and Wilson & Henderson, Br. Rust Fungi 337–339. 1966 [under 'U. trifolii'; misapplied].

## UROMYCES TRIFOLII-REPENTIS var. FALLENS (Arth.) Cummins

Uromyces trifolii-repentis var. fallens (Arth.) Cummins in Mycotaxon 5: 407. 1977 [neotype on Trifolium pratense L.].

- Nigredo fallens Arthur in N. Am. Flora 7 (3): 254 1912 [telial state described as comb. nov. of the uredinial name Uredo fallens Desmazières in Pl. cryptog. France (ed. 1) No. 1325. 1843 and in Annuls Sci. nat. (Bot.) III, 3: 357. 1845, comp. Art. 59].
- ≡ Uromyces fallens (Arth.) Kern ex Bartholomew, Handb. N. Am.

Ured. 61. 1918 [name based on the uredinial name *Uredo fallens* Desm. (see above); Kern in Phytopathology 1: 6. 1911 gives no description of the telial state [0], but Bartholomew l.c. listed Arthur's *Nigredo fallens* as a synonym of the combination made by Kern].

≡ *Uromyces trifolii* var. *fallens* (Arth.) Arthur, Man. Rusts 305. 1934 [as comb. nov. of the uredinial name *Uredo fallens* Desm., see above].

Note: The name U. trifolii-repentis var. fallens refers to a macrocyclic autoecious Rust which in the field is probably restricted to red clover (spermogonia, aecidia, uredinia, telia). Records on other clovers may concern different specialized forms [compare Guyot in Encycl. mycol. 29 (Urédinées 3 – Uromyces): 314. 1966] or may be due to confusion with the related U. trifolii-repentis Liro ex Liro var. trifoliirepentis(q.v.) [compare Laundon in Trans. Br. mycol. Soc. 61: 83–87. 1973]. U. t.-r. var. fallens is generally similar to var. trifolii-repentis except in the number and location of the pores in the uredospores, see Laundon l.c. and Cummins l.c. (mean number of pores greater than 4, percentage spores with only equatorial pores less than 40%). In older literature this macrocyclic rust of red clover is commonly referred to as U. trifolii (Hedw. f. ex DC.) Fuckel (q.v.), which, however, according to its lectotype, refers to the microcyclic telial rust of white clover [syn, U. flectens Lagerh.], see Walker in Mycotaxon 7: 423-435. 1978. The uredinial state is prevalent in U. t.-r. var. fallens which can overwinter by means of uredospores and uredomycelium, see Kobel in Centbl. Bakt. ParasitKde Abt. II, 52 (9/12): 217. 1920. For descriptions see Laundon 1973 l.c. [uredospores; under *U. fallens*]; Gäumann in Beitr. KryptogFlora Schweiz 12: 345-347. 1959 [under 'U. trifolii'; misapplied] and Wilson & Henderson, Br. Rust Fungi 326-327. 1966 [under U. fallens].

#### VERTICILLIUM ALBO-ATRUM Reinke & Berth.

Verticillium albo-atrum Reinke & Berthold in Unters. bot. Lab. Univ. Göttingen 1: 75. 1879.

Note: Both organisms involved in Verticillium Wilt, *V. albo-atrum* and *V. dahliae* Kleb. (listed below) may attack herbage legumes. Both species are typical plurivorous parasites [compare check-list 1a (*in* Neth. J. Pl. Path. 78, Suppl. 1: 54–55. 1972) and 2a (*in* Neth. J. Pl. Path. 82: 211–212. 1976)]. However, isolates of *V. albo-atrum* from lucerne evidently show some host specificity, see Heale & Isaac *in* Ann. appl. Biol. 52: 439–451. 1963. Various European cultivars of lucerne are moderately to highly *Verticillium* wilt-resistant, see e.g. Graham, Peaden & Evans *in* Pl. Dis. Reptr. 61: 337–340. 1977. A review on the extensive literature on the diseases caused by both *Verticillium* species has been given by Pegg *in* Rev. Pl. Path. 53: 157–182. 1974. For description of *V. albo-atrum* see Hawksworth & Talboys *in* C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 255. 1970.

#### VERTICILLIUM DAHLIAE Kleb.

Verticillium dahliae Klebahn in Mycol. Centbl. [Mycol. Zentbl.] 3: 66. 1913. Note: See under V. albo-atrum Reinke & Berth. For description see Hawksworth & Talboys in C.M.I. Descr. pathog. Fungi Bact. 256. 1970.